

- [It's Our Sovereignty Not Theirs, Stupid](#)
- [Is Everything Rigged?](#)
- [Voting Machines and the Growth of Tyranny](#)
- [Why I Thought Romney Would Be the Next President](#)
- [Stealing the Election – Again](#)
- [Mitt Romney Exposed](#)
- [Ron Paul and the Tampa Convention](#)
- [Exposing Vote Fraud in Iowa](#)
- [Ron Paul – Respected, Admired, Electable](#)
- [The Media Blackout of the Ron Paul Revolution](#)
- [The Criminal Plot to Stop Ron Paul](#)
- [Who is the Mystery Telecom in the Iowa Caucus?](#)
- [The Reality of Electronic Vote Fraud in America](#)
- [Restoring Democracy in America](#)
- [The Coming American Revolution](#)
- [Corporatism vs. Democracy in America](#)
- [Bollyn on Fictional Elections](#)
- [ELRON – VOXEO: The Israeli Defense Firm That Tallies the Iowa Caucus](#)
- [Chicago Ballot Chaos](#)
- [Florida Election Stolen](#)
- [Death of Democracy Or May the Best Hacker Win](#)

## It's Our Sovereignty Not Theirs, Stupid

February 1, 2013



*We The People*, the first three words of the U.S. Constitution, enshrine the fundamental concept that the people are sovereign in the American republic.

The American Declaration of Independence tells us Sovereignty in a Republic belongs to the people, who create the government and give it legitimacy. The current federal government has forgotten who has

Sovereignty.


– “It’s Our Sovereignty Not Theirs, Stupid”

The Video Rebel’s Blog has posted an excellent article that goes directly to the root of the problems facing the American republic: the loss of popular sovereignty and the increasing tyranny of the federal government. I have posted here a few extracts from the Video Rebel article. The entire piece can be accessed through the link at the bottom of this posting.

### It’s Our Sovereignty Not Theirs, Stupid

Bill Clinton was one of America’s best political campaigners and strategists. His theme for the 1992 presidential campaign was ‘It’s the economy, Stupid.’ We who resist Global Tyranny could multiply our efforts by bringing every issue back to maintaining our Sovereignty, whether it be illegal wars, the theft of our money, or compulsory drugging and vaccinations with toxic substances.

The Sovereign should be the one who issues the currency. If the U.S. government issued the U.S. dollar and not the Federal Reserve bank, which is privately owned, we would not have a 16.4 trillion dollar federal debt and more than 4 trillion dollars in state and local debt. Nor would we be paying hundreds of billions of dollars every year in interest payments to bankers who took away our Sovereignty and arrogated unto themselves the right to print our money...



"You might remember Ben Bernanke telling Congressman Alan Grayson that he could not tell him why he had created more than \$3,400 for every man, woman and child in New Zealand which he swapped for their currency. He rather arrogantly claimed he had the right to act independently of the Congress. Bernanke also bought more than 6 trillion dollars in worthless fraudulent Mortgage Backed Securities to keep Wall Street bankers out of jail for defrauding Americans and Europeans."

The American Declaration of Independence tells us Sovereignty in a Republic belongs to the people, who create the government and give it legitimacy. The current federal government has forgotten who has

Sovereignty. They do not recognize our inherent right to say 'No' to vaccinations which have been shown in the past to be deliberately contaminated. The early polio vaccines were exposed to cancer viruses. One of these was SIV-40 which causes lung cancer in people who never smoked. Childhood cancer and autism used to be extremely rare before the government started vaccinating everyone with dirty needles. These still are rare amidst the Amish who never accept vaccinations. A particularly egregious case is the Gardasil vaccine which cannot be demonstrated to prevent cervical cancer. It has had such horrendous human results that few girls are in any shape to take the third of the three shots. But politicians accept donations from vaccine makers and pass regulations requiring our daughters to take shots which can cripple and even kill them.

Again we need to remind people that they are Sovereign. They do not need to accept vaccinations...



"politicians accept donations from vaccine makers and pass regulations requiring our daughters to take shots which can cripple and even kill them."

To amend the Constitution or to pass an Amendment the Banker Occupied Government must prove to us that they actually won an open and honest election. America does not have free and honest elections. There are counties where one candidate got more votes in 2012 than there were voters in the entire county. In California a referendum requiring GMO labeling had 2 to 1 approval in the polls but lost after the votes were counted.

The American people retain Sovereignty. Their full rights will be restored. The economy is collapsing and will not last long enough for the clowns in Washington to even pass a budget. The days of this present tyranny are numbered. They will lose. We will win.

Sources and Recommended Reading:

"It's Our Sovereignty Not Theirs, Stupid," Video Rebel's Blog, January 30, 2013

<http://vidrebel.wordpress.com/2013/01/30/its-our-sovereignty-not-theirs-stupid/>

Bollyn, Christopher, "Voting Machines & The Growth of Tyranny," January 13, 2013

<http://www.bollyn.com/13914/>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

Is Everything Rigged?

February 6, 2013



Having won the Tour de France seven times, Lance Armstrong was thought to be a superman. He was, however, stripped of his titles and banned for life when it was discovered that he and the American team had used illegal doping methods to win.

In January, the world was shocked, but not surprised, to hear American cyclist Lance Armstrong admit on television that he had used performance-enhancing drugs in all seven of his Tour de France wins. Armstrong was stripped of his titles, which he had won by cheating through doping. Long suspected of doping, Armstrong had lied for years and threatened others to stay silent.

Now, Europol, the police organization of the European Union, reveals that an organized criminal gang fixed hundreds of soccer matches around the world in recent years, including World Cup and European Championship qualifiers and Champions League games.

The agency said an 18-month review found 380 suspicious matches in Europe and another 300 questionable games outside the continent, mainly in Africa, Asia, and South and Central America. It also found evidence that a Singapore-based crime syndicate was involved in some of the match-fixing.



A criminal syndicate has rigged hundreds of soccer games in Europe.

Europol said 425 match officials, club officials, players, and criminals from at least 15 countries were involved in fixing European soccer games dating back to 2008. What this means is that the teams who won European championships, such as Spain, did not always win fairly.

These two shocking revelations, which will have a huge impact on the world of sports, are but a sign of the times. They are proof that the skeptics were right and that wholesale cheating is rampant in the world of sports.

Not that it wasn't obvious. When Lance Armstrong won the Tour de France year after year many people suspected that the American team had simply found a better method of doping that could not be detected. They were right. Now, Armstrong is the subject of a criminal investigation for having threatened and intimidated others who knew about the doping.

The fact that high-level European soccer games were fixed was also obvious. The European final between Germany and Spain on June 29, 2008, should be one of the matches under investigation. It was a very dirty game in which the Spanish team used all of its tricks to injure the German players, such as Michael Ballack, the team captain. It was a brutal game which the Spaniards won 1-0, but it was anything but fair. One could hardly watch a game like that and *not* think it was rigged. It was quite obvious.

So, what global fraud will be the next to be exposed? Will the fraudulent elections in the United States be uncovered? Will the criminal gangs behind our political parties and electronic vote fraud be investigated by the F.B.I.? Electronic voting machines are to elections what doping is to the Tour de France. These are the methods used by criminals to win races.

Perhaps the criminals behind the false-flag terror attacks of 9-11 will be exposed along with the war profiteers who have raked in billions of dollars from the wars of aggression in Afghanistan and Iraq?

The truth will out, as Shakespeare wrote, and when it does it will shake Western society to its core. The crimes of 9-11 and the cover-up are like a festering wound at the center of the American political system. Israel, Canada, and Britain are all deeply involved.

Unfortunately, for all too many Americans the massive criminal deception behind 9-11 won't be understood until it is reported on CNN and FOX News, which is not likely to happen anytime soon. As long as the U.S. government and media are dominated by a cabal of Zionists the 9-11 cover-up will be maintained by hook or by crook.

The controlled media and government are in fact the only things that continue to support the pack of lies about 9-11 and the fraudulent "War on Terror." Their power, however, is limited while truth is enduring. It's only a matter of time before the dike breaks on the criminals and the truth overwhelms their tyranny of lies.

46 Google +1 8 0 0

## Voting Machines and the Growth of Tyranny

January 13, 2013





*Yet experience hath shewn, that even under the best forms [of government] those entrusted with power have, in time, and by slow operations, perverted it into tyranny.*

– Thomas Jefferson, “Preamble to a Bill for the More General Diffusion of Knowledge,” 1778

In the immediate aftermath of the presidential election of 2012 citizens from all fifty states filed petitions to secede from the United States of America. This is a clear sign that many Americans think the federal government has become a tyranny. The word *tyranny* comes from the Greek word *tyrant*, which the ancient Greeks bestowed on good and bad rulers alike if their authority was not legitimate. A tyrant is a person who has seized or assumed power wrongfully, as George W. Bush did in 2000. In this sense the words *tyrant* and *usurper* are exact synonyms.

The state petitions of secession, signed by more than 675,000 people in the days after the election, are indicative of the growing awareness among Americans that their government is not legitimate. What that means to all Americans and how we can rectify the problem and restore the integrity to our American republic is the purpose of this essay.

Once every two years Americans go to the polls to determine who will represent them in the U.S. House of Representatives, and for president once every four years. If the representation is to be real, the election must also be real, as Thomas Paine wrote in 1803. The fundamental problem with the U.S. government today is that it simply *does not* represent the American people because our elections are not real.

The presidential election of November 6, 2012, was as flawed as all U.S. elections have been since the citizenry was removed from the vote-counting process. There were more than 70,000 complaints received on Election Day 2012. These complaints concerned vote fraud and problems with the electronic voting systems. There were, however, no formal complaints coming from the Romney camp, which is very odd.

Since the election, Romney’s son Tagg has come out saying that his father did not even want to be president, which suggests that Romney was only running because he was being paid to fill the space for the Republican candidate. This is the same tactic that was used to elect the unknown Obama to the U.S. Senate in 2004. After the Republican candidate withdrew due to a sex scandal, there was no candidate opposing Obama until Alan Keyes of Maryland was drafted by the GOP of Illinois, 86 days before the election. The fact that Keyes did not

even live in Illinois did not bother anyone because Keyes was only running to fill the space and make it *looklike* a race. It now looks like Romney was doing the same thing to enable Obama to win re-election.

#### ROMNEY – THE STRAW CANDIDATE

“He wanted to be president less than anyone I’ve met in my life,” Tagg Romney told the *Boston Globe*. “If he could have found someone else to take his place . . . he would have been ecstatic to step aside.”

There was someone, however, who could have taken Romney’s place and who would have beaten Obama in the polls: Rep. Ron Paul. While Dr. Paul was very popular with the people across the nation, his anti-war positions and strict obedience to the U.S. Constitution are utterly anathema to the *crimocracy* that rules Washington, so they had to find a way to derail the Ron Paul Express to the White House. (*Crimocracy* is my word for the criminal *ochlocracy* or “mob rule” that dominates our government.)



*To have the representation real, the election must be real;  
and that where the election is a fiction,  
the representation is a fiction also.  
Like will always produce like.*

– Thomas Paine to the Citizens of the United States, January 29, 1803

The most fundamental problem with elections in the United States is that the tallying of the votes is done in secret by the private companies who run the electronic voting systems used across the country. These voting systems *were designed* to remove the citizen from the vote counting and authentication process and have made a complete sham of our elections and our democratic franchise.

Elections in the United States have become a grand deception in which the ruling powers and controlled media deceive the people into thinking that they actually choose the people who represent them. It is, however, not the American people who choose their leaders but the people who run the voting systems that count the votes and produce the tallies. In this way the U.S. government has become tyrannical because it is not legitimate and does not represent the American people.

#### THE CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED



*In free governments, the rulers are the servants  
and the people their superiors and sovereigns.*


– Benjamin Franklin

The most revolutionary aspect of our American system of government is that it is based on the concept of popular sovereignty, which means that the legitimacy of our government and law is based on the consent of the governed. The American Revolution replaced the sovereignty of King George III with a collective sovereign – the *popular sovereignty* of the American people.

Americans give their consent when they exercise their democratic franchise by voting for their representatives every two years. As long as our votes are being counted in secret, however, by the privately owned companies that run our elections, our democratic franchise is meaningless.

If we are not counting our votes in our local polling stations we are not enfranchised in our government. It's that simple – and that serious. We are not actually participating in our government, which means that our social contract no longer exists.

The American concept of popular sovereignty was articulated by Jean-Jacques Rousseau, a citizen of Geneva, who wrote in *The Social Contract* (1762) about the tendency of governments to degenerate into tyrannies:



*The dissolution of the state can occur in one of two ways. First, when the ruling body no longer administers the state in accordance with the laws, and usurps sovereign authority. The change that then takes place*



*deserves notice: it is that the state, not the government, contracts in size; I mean that the greater state is dissolved, and that within it another is created, consisting only of the members of the government, which in relation to the rest of the people is no more than its master and tyrant. So that, as soon as the government usurps sovereignty, the social pact is broken; and every ordinary citizen, restored by right to his natural liberty, is forced, but not obliged, to obey.*

– Jean-Jacques Rousseau, *The Social Contract*, 1762

This is evidently what has happened in the United States. Like ancient Athens, Americans are now living in a period in which they are ruled by tyrants. In the final analysis there is only one way to restore legitimate government in the United States: to return to democratic and transparent elections in which the citizens openly count the votes in every polling station across the nation.

The Constitution, after all, gives the legislatures of the individual states the power to decide how elections should be held in each state. Rather than secede from the union, the citizens in each state should turn their energies to demanding that their state pass legislation that bans voting machines *entirely* and restores the integrity to their elections by using paper ballots counted by the citizens in every polling place in the state.

It won't be easy and there will be strong resistance to these efforts but this is what has to be done. There are many nations that could serve as models to help Americans restore democratic elections to their different states. The Swiss, for example, provide an excellent model and vote several times a year because they have a system of direct democracy in which the citizens are required to vote on legislation. This is probably due to the influence of Jean-Jacques Rousseau who said that the sovereign citizen could not be represented by anyone else. The Swiss, in fact, have a constitution that is based on the U.S. Constitution. The biggest difference between the Swiss and the American systems today is that the Swiss have not compromised their popular sovereignty and allowed private companies to count their votes. In Switzerland the votes are still cast using paper ballots that are counted by hand.

*We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. — That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, — That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.*

– Declaration of Independence, July 4, 1776

Sources:

Bollyn, Christopher, "The Reality of Electronic Vote Fraud in America," January 26, 2012

<http://www.bollyn.com/13598/>

*The Social Contract* by Jean-Jacques Rousseau, Christopher Betts (trans.), Oxford University Press, 1994

46 Google +1 8 0 0

Why I Thought Romney Would Be the Next President

October 31, 2012

Updated: November 11 – Added material on Netanyahu–Lieberman political merger of extreme right-wing parties in Israel

The Zionist gangsters funding Mitt Romney and his supposed rival Newt Gingrich are part of a bigger strategy to get Barack Obama re-elected and prevent Ron Paul from winning the Republican nomination and the presidency. This is basically the same tactic that these same Zionist forces used to get Obama elected to office in Illinois and the U.S. Senate. In every election that Obama ran for office in Illinois the opposition candidate was effectively destroyed before the election so that there was no real opponent running against him. Ron Paul would win the presidency if he were to face Obama in the general election in November. This is why the Zionists are pouring money and favorable press on Romney, Gingrich, and Santorum. They need to stop Paul so Obama will win. It's a conspiracy to stop Paul.

– Christopher Bollyn, ["The Criminal Plot to Stop Ron Paul"](#), March 10, 2012



Barack Obama and Mitt Romney share a joke at a recent dinner in New York. Being forced to choose between such unpopular candidates for President of the United States is no laughing matter.

'Do you really think that criminals are clever, good people, Thrasymachus?'

'Yes, if their criminality is able to manifest in a perfect form and they are capable of dominating countries and nations.'

– Plato, *Republic*, ca. 380 B.C.

It's impossible to pretend that we live in normal times as long as the crimes of 9-11 are not solved. 9-11 was the manifestation of something very, very rotten at the core of the United States of America and as long as that crime remains unresolved, anyone who thinks that we can go back to normal and live our normal lives is really living with their head in the sand.

– Christopher Bollyn, Kevin Barrett Show, October 15, 2012

Although I personally could not support either of the two leading candidates, the week before the election I thought Mitt Romney would be the next president of the United States. The reasoning behind my prediction was not based on my opinion of Romney or the poll numbers, but because of my understanding of the criminal network behind 9-11, which is the subject of my *Solving 9-11* book. The people who were supporting and advising Romney are the same people from the Bush administration who were instrumental in using the false-flag terror attacks of 9-11 to change America and start the fraudulent "War on Terror." Michael Chertoff, the key person behind the 9-11 cover-up, for example, was Romney's senior adviser on intelligence and terrorism. Dov Zakheim, the Comptroller of the Department of Defense in 2001,

was another senior adviser on the Romney team. These men are high-level agents of a foreign state, Israel, and have committed outrageous crimes against the United States of America.



THE ISRAELI AGENT: MICHAEL CHERTOFF – Son of a Mossad agent operating in New Jersey, Chertoff was one of Mitt Romney's senior advisers – on counter-terrorism and intelligence. As head of the criminal division of the Department of Justice, Chertoff gave us the USA PATRIOT Act, which decimated our freedoms and ushered in the police state apparatus. This Israeli agent *directed* the FBI's *non-investigation* of 9-11 and the *destruction* of the crucial evidence. He went on to become head of the Department of Homeland Security, where he maintained his control over the 9-11 evidence through SSI provisions. Chertoff played a key role in introducing full-body scanners into U.S. airports and profited by representing the manufacturers of the machines that expose people to dangerous radiation. If Romney had won, Chertoff would have been in position to further advance his radical Zionist agenda – from the White House.

Chertoff and Zakheim would be tried for treason and hanged *if* the crimes of 9-11 were to be properly investigated and prosecuted. There was no proper criminal investigation of 9-11 and the crucial evidence was destroyed, thanks to Michael Chertoff, who was the Assistant Attorney General at the time – in charge of the F.B.I. and the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice. So, instead of being charged for the crimes they committed, Chertoff and Zakheim were poised to run the Romney White House. They were prepared to usher in the next chapter of the "War on Terror" saga – if Romney had won. I am personally relieved that these people will not be back in power. I would be very happy to see them investigated and prosecuted. Barack Obama, however, has shown *no* inclination to investigate 9-11 and his administration has allowed the Israelis to continue their criminal actions in Palestine and beyond. I don't think that we can expect Obama II to be any different than Obama I.

We Americans, however, cannot expect to see the integrity of our republic restored and a return to normalcy until the criminals behind the 9-11 terror atrocity are investigated, charged, and prosecuted. People who think that we can live in a normal, peaceful, and prosperous nation while this outrageous crime remains unsolved and unprosecuted are living in denial, with their heads in the sand.

The people behind the 9-11 cover-up have succeeded in hijacking the United States of America and taking us into two extremely costly and disastrous wars. They have plundered the U.S. Treasury and created a police state in our republic in which the citizens are treated like terrorism suspects. Chertoff and Zakheim are key players in a nasty game of treason and, unfortunately for freedom-loving Americans, they succeeded with their evil Zionist conspiracy, which is why I thought (and feared) that the 9-11 culprits behind Romney would take the White House.

Another reason is because the Romney campaign was being funded with tens of millions of dollars from Sheldon Adelson, the gambling mogul of Macao (China) and Las Vegas. Adelson is not a gambler, but a brutal Zionist mega-criminal, a type that Ian Fleming knew very well. Adelson was reported to have underwritten the Romney campaign with as much as \$100 million dollars. Adelson had a financial incentive to invest in the Romney campaign. Had Romney won, Adelson could have saved an estimated \$2 billion on his tax bill.

Adelson is a Zionist extremist from the far right. He is reported to have paid for the new AIPAC building (the Israeli lobby) in Washington, D.C. He wanted to sustain and extend the so-called War on Terrorism "unto the blazing horizon," in the words of Philip Weiss. I didn't think the Zionist gangster Adelson was betting on a losing horse. Adelson is a high-level criminal who plays to win. As I pointed out last December, before the rigged Iowa caucus, which I predicted Romney would win, the Mormon candidate was being supported by the Crown family of Chicago, the Jewish Zionist family that owns the huge defense firm General Dynamics. These people do not play fair and they always find a way for their horse to win.

Romney is also a friend of the current Israeli prime minister, Benjamin Netanyahu, and they both worked at Boston Consulting in the 1970s. Netanyahu heads a government composed of proven terrorists (e.g. Ehud Barak, Shimon Peres, and himself) and has recently formed a political alliance and stronger coalition with a Russian party from the extreme right, which is headed by his own foreign minister, Avigdor Lieberman. On November 6, Netanyahu called for early elections in Israel.



In October 2012 Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu merged his right-wing Likud party, founded in the 1970s by Menachem Begin and his gang of Irgun terrorists, with the ultra-nationalist Russian party headed by his foreign minister Avigdor Lieberman in "a hard-right tack" ahead of Israel's election in January.



THE REAL FACE OF ISRAEL'S FOREIGN POLICY – [Avigdor Lieberman](#), Israel's Foreign Minister under the current Likud regime headed by Benjamin Netanyahu, is Netanyahu's "new" coalition partner from the extreme right. Born in Moldova in the Soviet Union, Lieberman is certainly no friend of the Palestinians. Rather than support a 2003 prisoner exchange involving 350 Palestinians held in Israeli prisons, Lieberman said: "It would be better to drown these prisoners in the Dead Sea if possible, since that's the lowest point in the world." As Minister of Transport, Lieberman offered to supply buses to take the prisoners to the Dead Sea to be killed. Rather than being ousted for advocating such outrageous and racist positions, Lieberman was promoted and became Israel's Foreign Minister in 2009.

Lieberman is the founder of Yisrael Beiteinu, a right-wing nationalist party in Israel. The party's base is secular, Russian-speaking immigrants, like himself. The party describes itself as "a national movement with the clear vision to follow in the brave path of Zev Jabotinsky." [Jabotinsky](#) is the ideological father of Zionist terrorism and the Likud party. In 1923, Jabotinsky wrote an essay calling for an ["Iron Wall"](#) around the Jewish fortress-ghetto state in Palestine. That wall has now been built and is called Israel's "Wall of Separation."

The current ruling regime in Israel is so far to the right that if it were a European nation it would be viewed as a fascist state, which is clearly is. If Romney had won the White House and the terrorist Benjamin Netanyahu and his Likud party were to remain in power in Israel, we would have another alignment of extreme Zionist fanatics and criminals like we had when George W. Bush and Ariel Sharon came to power in the beginning of 2001. We certainly remember the evil that was brought into the world under that constellation.

Then there was the departure of Rahm Emanuel, Obama's first chief-of-staff, from the White House to become the mayor of Chicago. Emanuel had served as the senior Mossad agent in the Clinton and Obama administrations. The son of an Israeli terrorist, Emanuel basically ran the White House and oversaw what the president did and who he saw. His departure from the Obama White House indicated to me that he thought Obama was to be a one-term president, a kind of intermission between acts. Why else would he leave the most powerful position in the United States and go back to the Windy City?

All of these things led me to conclude that Romney would be the next president. Evidently my earlier prognosis that the Zionists were pouring money into Republican campaigns in order "to stop Paul so Obama will win" was closer to the mark.

Sources and Recommended Reading:

“Adelson Again. The Culture’s Double Standard for Christian and Jewish Right-Wing Moguls,” by Philip Weiss, MondoWeiss.net, April 16, 2008

<http://mondoweiss.net/2008/04/adelson-again-t.html>

“Mitt Romney and the 9-11 Cover-Up,” by Christopher Bollyn, July 31, 2012

<http://www.bollyn.com/mitt-romney-and-the-9-11-cover-up/>

“Mitt Romney Exposed,” by Christopher Bollyn, October 17, 2012

<http://www.bollyn.com/mitt-romney-exposed/>

“Mitt Romney set to win, maybe by a mile,” by Michael Graham, BostonHerald.com, October 31, 2012

[http://bostonherald.com/news/opinion/op\\_ed/view/20221031mitt\\_set\\_to\\_win\\_maybe\\_by\\_a\\_mile\\_republican\\_momentum\\_makes\\_prez\\_desperate](http://bostonherald.com/news/opinion/op_ed/view/20221031mitt_set_to_win_maybe_by_a_mile_republican_momentum_makes_prez_desperate)

“Sheldon Adelson’s Return on Investment: Billionaire Donor Could Turn \$100 Million Invested in the 2012 Presidential Race into a \$2 Billion Tax Cut If Romney is Elected,” by Seth Hanlon, Center for American Progress Action Fund, August 2012

[http://images.politico.com/global/2012/09/hanlon\\_adelsonc4brief.html](http://images.politico.com/global/2012/09/hanlon_adelsonc4brief.html)

“Solving 9/11 to End the Zionist War Plan for Iran,” by Christopher Bollyn, November 8, 2011

<http://www.bollyn.com/solving-911-to-end-the-zionist-war-plan-for-iran/>

“The Criminal Plot to Stop Ron Paul,” by Christopher Bollyn, December 29, 2011 (Updated through March 10, 2012)

<http://www.bollyn.com/the-criminal-plot-to-stop-ron-paul/>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

## Stealing the Election – Again

November 8, 2012

The CBS television station in Phoenix, KPHO, announced the results of the November 6 presidential election on October 19, more than two weeks before the election! These are the weird things that happen when elections are run by the media and private voting machine companies. Elections in the United States are not in the hands of the citizenry. The fundamental problem with elections in the United States is that the votes are not counted by the citizens in each polling station as they should be. We do not have transparent and open elections. We have lost our democratic franchise.

Video Link – <http://youtu.be/CgYTw06TeIQ>





In a proper democratic election, like this one in France, the citizens count the votes openly in front of the public in *each* polling station. Without this most essential aspect, an election is neither transparent nor authentic. My article ["Restoring Democracy in America"](#) describes how proper democratic elections are conducted using the French presidential election of 2002, which I monitored, as an example.

“...to have the representation real, the election must be real; and that where the election is a fiction, the representation is a fiction also. Like will always produce like.”

- Thomas Paine to the Citizens of the United States, 29 January 1803

The U.S. presidential election on November 6 will be stolen, just like every election since 2000 has been, using electronic voting machines that are designed to steal elections. Even *The Christian Science Monitor* has caught on to the systemic vote fraud in U.S. elections with its recent article titled, “Could e-voting machines in Election 2012 be hacked? Yes.”

The evil character in the new James Bond film says he can throw an election to the highest bidder using computers, but he oddly uses Uganda as his example target - not the United States. Perhaps they don't want to be too obvious for the naive American voters who still believe their vote really counts. Why is it so easy to accept that massive vote fraud happens in places like Uganda, but not the United States? When was the last time you saw the votes being tallied by the citizens in a polling station in America?



YOU CALL THIS AN ELECTION? - The battered and chained eagle on the cover of *Atlantic* magazine represents the sad state of our elections - and our republic.

By now, it should be obvious that electronic voting machines are actually designed to steal elections. There is no valid reason why citizens in a free republic should allow a machine to control the most crucial aspect of their elections: the counting of the votes. In an authentic democratic election the votes should be openly counted in front of the press and the public in every polling station across the nation. It is very simple, inexpensive, and precise.

Most importantly, the open and public counting of the votes is *thesine qua non*, the absolutely indispensable aspect of a democratic election because the citizens' tally of the votes in every polling station is what authenticates a proper democratic election. With the possible exception of a few rural counties, the vote counting and authentication process is no longer done by the citizens. This is to say that the elections in the United States are neither transparent nor democratic. In our American republic the representation is a fiction, as Thomas Paine said. The election has been stolen before we even cast our votes.



The counting of the votes in each polling station in front of the public is what makes the tally authentic. This is

the most simple and transparent way to conduct a democratic election. It is time for Americans to return to this simple and fool-proof method of voting.

I wrote my first article about the subject of electronic vote fraud, “The Death of Democracy or May the Best Hacker Win,” the week before the 2000 election. I soon realized that the criminal network behind the electronic voting systems was stealing our elections in order to control our nation. The installment of the un-elected Bush administration in the White House in 2001 and its subsequent failure to investigate the false-flag terror attacks of 9-11 confirmed the criminal nature of the regime that had been put in power through vote fraud.

Now it has been reported that there are connections between Mitt Romney’s Bain Capital and Hart InterCivic, one of the companies that manufactures the electronic voting machines used in Ohio. Ohio is a “swing state” and the Hart voting machines are used in Hamilton County, the metropolitan area of Cincinnati. If Hamilton County swings for Romney there will certainly be questions raised about these connections, but by then the election will be over and even the most blatant cases of vote fraud are seldom news for more than a day or two.

Ohio’s Secretary of State Jennifer Brunner commissioned the Project Everest Report in 2007. The study was a “Risk Assessment Study of Ohio Voting Systems” to examine and evaluate the electronic voting systems used in Ohio. About the Hart machines, the report found a list of failures:

- Failure to effectively protect election data integrity
- Failure to eliminate or document unsafe functionality
- Failure to protect election from “Malicious Insiders”
- Failure to provide trustworthy auditing

The final evaluation for the Hart voting machines indicates that these machines were evidently designed to allow tampering with the results: “The researchers concluded that virtually every ballot, vote, election result, and audit log is ‘forgeable or otherwise manipulatable by an attacker with even brief access to the voting systems.’”

The report also evaluated the iVotronic voting machine manufactured by ES&S of Omaha. Again the study found that the machine was designed to facilitate tampering with the tally: The researchers found that access to administrative and voter functions are protected with “ineffective security mechanisms.”

The Ohio report concluded that the central server, software, and the precinct-based components for both DRE and optical scan voting machines “lack the fundamental technical controls necessary to guarantee a trustworthy election under operational conditions.” This is intentional, of course.

The ES&S machines also received a failing grade in security with vulnerabilities that were described as “pervasive, critical failures.”

- Failure to protect election data and software
- Failure to effectively control access to election operations
- Failure to correctly implement security mechanisms
- Failure to follow standard software and security engineering practices

About the ES&S machines, which are used in many states across America, “the researchers concluded that many of the most serious vulnerabilities in the ES&S system arise from the incorrect use of security technologies such as cryptography. This effectively neutralizes several basic security features, exposing the system and its data to misuse or manipulation.”

The report found that the ES&S voting machines were wide open to tampering: “The researchers discovered ‘exploitable vulnerabilities’ that allowed even persons with limited access – such as voters or poll workers – to compromise voting machines and election results, or to inject and spread software viruses into the central election management system.”

Why is Ohio still using these seriously flawed voting machines in 2012? Why are the citizens of Ohio, or any other state, still voting on machines in which “every ballot, vote, election result, and audit log is ‘forgeable or otherwise manipulatable by an attacker with even brief access to the voting systems?’” It just doesn’t make any sense unless one realizes that the system is designed for cheating on a massive scale.

Which raises the question, who is supposed to be overseeing the use of these machines at the federal level?

As Ohio’s Project EVEREST (i.e. Evaluation and Validation of Election Related Equipment, Standards and Testing) study says:

In 2002, the United States Congress adopted the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA), which aimed to improve the administration of elections in the United States. With the enactment of HAVA, new voting system requirements were established, and a national program was implemented to provide states with the funds necessary to replace punch card and lever voting systems with new, qualifying systems.

HAVA also created the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) and transferred the responsibility of developing voting system standards from the Federal Election Commission (FEC) to the EAC. Through HAVA, the EAC was also tasked with establishing the federal government’s first voting system certification program.

Well then, who is running the U.S. Election Assistance Commission, which is responsible for voting system standards and certifying the voting machines we vote on?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (vacant)

It is a sign of the times that during the year leading up to the 2012 election there has been *no* Executive Director of the EAC. Thomas R. Wilkey submitted his resignation as executive director effective November 30, 2011. As the [EAC website](#) says: “HAVA states that if the executive director position becomes vacant, the general counsel of the commission serves as acting executive director until the commission appoints a replacement.

GENERAL COUNSEL (vacant)

“EAC’s general counsel, in accordance with HAVA, is appointed to a four-year term and may serve additional terms by a vote of the Commission. The general counsel serves under the executive director and is responsible for reviewing all guidance, guidelines, best practices as well as overseeing compliance.”

But there is *no* general counsel either at the EAC. Mark A. Robbins submitted his resignation as general counsel effective May 15, 2012.

How odd. The federal commission responsible for voting systems has had no executive director or general counsel during the six months before a general election! This is an agency that is clearly not working. So, who are the political appointees on “the commission” responsible for this utter failure to regulate the voting systems in the United States?

The EAC Commissioners’ webpage is blank except for this one paragraph:

The Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) specifies that four commissioners are nominated by the President on recommendations from the majority and minority leadership in the U.S. House and U.S. Senate. No more than two commissioners may belong to the same political party. Once confirmed by the full Senate, commissioners may serve two consecutive terms. HAVA states that members of the commission shall continue to serve past their expired term until a successor takes office. There are four vacancies on the commission.

## THE FRAUDSTER IN CHIEF

It seems that Alice P. Miller, the chief operating officer (COO), has assumed the executive responsibilities of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission, including the most critical task of testing and certifying the voting systems being used. When Miller was appointed to the COO position at the EAC in May 2008 there was a chorus of protest concerning her record of [involvement in fraud](#) at the D.C. Board of Elections and Ethics (BOEE), where she was Executive Director.

The evidence indicates that Miller was involved in a criminal scheme to obtain \$12,000 pay raises for herself and a colleague, and to collect \$22,000 in back pay, each. In order to do this, she drafted two memorandums that falsely claimed that the pay raises were authorized and legal - the day after the changes in their job classification and pay had been improperly entered into a computerized payroll system. In 2003, the same year she was investigated for criminal fraud, Miller became the first [African-American president](#) of the National Association of State Election Directors.

In May 2008, when Miller was appointed as COO, an [EAC press release](#) said:

"Ms. Miller will oversee the day-to-day operations at the EAC in six program areas: Voting Systems Testing and Certification, HAVA Funding, Election Administration Improvement Programs, Research, Administration and Human Resources."

Instead of being prosecuted for her crimes, Miller was promoted to a higher federal position. This is, of course, the way a crimocracy works and this is exactly the kind of regimes we are fostering in Iraq, Afghanistan, and the former republics of the Soviet Union.



ALICE P. MILLER - Without an executive director, general counsel, or any commissioners, the crucial responsibilities at the U.S. Election Assistance Commission have all devolved upon the chief operating officer, Alice Miller, who is currently running the agency that oversees U.S. elections. Miller has a documented history of [unprosecuted criminal fraud](#). She seems to have found the perfect federal job for herself certifying the fraudulent voting systems being used to steal our elections.

So we have a federal election agency, run by a known fraudster, certifying the fraudulent voting systems Americans vote on. No wonder voting machines that are so easily tampered with are still being used across the nation. The whole system is clearly designed to steal our elections, which is the real purpose of the voting machines in the first place. It's time to go back to hand-counted paper ballots counted in every polling station in America. It's time to take our republic back.



## Sources and Recommended Reading:

Alice P. Miller, Chief Operating Officer, U.S. Election Assistance Commission

[http://democrats.cha.house.gov/sites/democrats.cha.house.gov/files/styles/detail\\_main\\_image/public/2011%20BIOS%20-%20Miller.pdf](http://democrats.cha.house.gov/sites/democrats.cha.house.gov/files/styles/detail_main_image/public/2011%20BIOS%20-%20Miller.pdf)

"Could e-voting machines in Election 2012 be hacked? Yes." by Mark Clayton, *Christian Science Monitor*, October 26, 2012

<http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Elections/2012/1026/Could-e-voting-machines-in-Election-2012-be-hacked-Yes>

"Death of Democracy Or May the Best Hacker Win," by Christopher Bollyn, October 27, 2000

<http://www.bollyn.com/death-of-democracy-or-may-the-best-hacker-win>

"EAC Selects D.C. Elections Director for Chief Operating Officer Post," [www.eac.gov](http://www.eac.gov), May 9, 2008

[http://www.eac.gov/eac\\_selects\\_d.c.\\_elections\\_director\\_for\\_chief\\_operating\\_officer\\_post/](http://www.eac.gov/eac_selects_d.c._elections_director_for_chief_operating_officer_post/)

"Inspector General Report of Investigation Concerning Inadequate Oversight and Misconduct at the District of Columbia Board of Elections and Ethics and the Office of Campaign Finance," OIG No. 2002-0252, May 22, 2003, DCWatch.com

<http://www.dcwatch.com/govern/ig030522.htm>

Project EVEREST, Evaluation and Validation of Election Related Equipment, Standards and Testing, Executive Report, Ohio Secretary of State Jennifer Brunner, December 14, 2007

<http://www.sos.state.oh.us/sos/upload/everest/00-SecretarysEVERESTExecutiveReport.pdf>

"Restoring Democracy in America," by Christopher Bollyn, March 19, 2011

<http://www.bollyn.com/restoring-democracy-in-america-2/>

"The Dubious Integrity of Alice P. Miller and the Elections Assistance Commission," CountedAsCast.com (cached), October 7, 2008

[http://www.zoominfo.com/CachedPage/?archive\\_id=0&page\\_id=-1655788183&page\\_url=/www.countedascast.com/usa/alicemiller\\_boee.php&page\\_last\\_updated=2012-04-29T03:40:26&firstName=Alice&lastName=Miller](http://www.zoominfo.com/CachedPage/?archive_id=0&page_id=-1655788183&page_url=/www.countedascast.com/usa/alicemiller_boee.php&page_last_updated=2012-04-29T03:40:26&firstName=Alice&lastName=Miller)

"The Reality of Electronic Vote Fraud in America," by Christopher Bollyn, January 26, 2012

<http://www.bollyn.com/13598/>

U.S. Elections Assistance Commission website, November 4, 2012

[http://www.eac.gov/about\\_the\\_eac/staff.aspx](http://www.eac.gov/about_the_eac/staff.aspx)

[http://www.eac.gov/about\\_the\\_eac/commissioners.aspx](http://www.eac.gov/about_the_eac/commissioners.aspx)



October 17, 2012



Mitt Romney shakes hands with "The Godfather" at the George Bush Presidential Library at Texas A&M University on December 7, 2007. Romney's connections to the criminal Bush family and the Israeli cabal behind 9-11 should serve as a warning to the American people.



Michael Chertoff, as head of the criminal division of the Department of Justice, oversaw the FBI *non-investigation* of 9-11. An Israeli son of the Mossad, Chertoff was the key official responsible for prosecuting the crimes of 9-11. Rather than carrying out a proper criminal investigation, Chertoff allowed the evidence to be destroyed. He is a senior advisor to Mitt Romney – on terrorism and intelligence.

Mitt Romney may very well be the next president of the United States, yet very little is really known about who Romney is or what he stands for. This is exactly how it was with Barack Obama when the little-known senator from Illinois ran for president. Well known candidates with popular and patriotic positions, like Dr. Ron Paul, are no longer chosen to represent either of the big political parties. Anti-war candidates like Dr. Paul are anathema to the political establishment and the controlled media.

Once again, the controlled media is presenting the presidential contest as a close race with the candidates running neck-and-neck in the polls. This is the typical "horse race" circus of the fraudulent elections we now have in the United States. The presidential election, we are told, will be determined in a few key swing states

like Ohio and Florida. It could go either way. Tens of millions of votes will be cast on Election Day, nearly all of which will be secretly tallied by private voting machine companies far from the eyes of the citizens. Presidential elections in America have become a tightly-rigged media circus in which there is virtually no citizen oversight of the vote counting. The democratic franchise in the United States has become virtually meaningless.

But we will have a new president in three weeks and it is very likely that it will be Mitt Romney, whether we like it or not. For that reason I strongly advise watching the following video, entitled "Romney Exposed," which exposes some of Romney's less appealing connections.

Romney Exposed – <http://youtu.be/s9QA5B6U86s>

Sources and Recommended Reading:

"Bain Capital started with help of offshore investors," *Los Angeles Times*, July 19, 2012  
<http://articles.latimes.com/2012/jul/19/nation/la-na-bain-creation-20120719>

"Mitt Romney and the 9-11 Cover-Up," by Christopher Bollyn, July 31, 2012  
<http://www.bollyn.com/mitt-romney-and-the-9-11-cover-up/>

"The Criminal Plot to Stop Ron Paul," by Christopher Bollyn, December 29, 2011 (Updated through March 10, 2012)  
<http://www.bollyn.com/the-criminal-plot-to-stop-ron-paul/>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

## Ron Paul and the Tampa Convention

August 27, 2012

Ron Paul, one of the two main candidates for the Republican party nomination, is not being allowed to speak at *his* party convention in Tampa because he refused to accept the dictates of the party bosses that he submit his speech to party censors beforehand, and that he support *their* chosen candidate – Mitt Romney. Where have we seen such undemocratic demands made of politicians before? These demands are more typical of a totalitarian state than of a political party in a free and democratic system. On Sunday, August 26, at his "We Are The Future" rally, Dr. Paul gave the speech the totalitarian GOP party bosses did not want America to hear.

"...to promote the cause of liberty in a revolutionary spirit."

– Ron Paul, August 26, 2012

Ron Paul's complete speech with introduction by Senator Rand Paul

URL Link – <http://youtu.be/b3gK-goWD20>

The upcoming Republican convention in Tampa, August 27–30, promises to be a key event in American political history. The GOP convention in Tampa will be the scene of a battle for control of the Republican party

and the White House. The struggle will be between patriotic freedom-loving supporters of Dr. Ron Paul and the Zionist-funded criminal faction that supports Mitt Romney.

Justin Raimondo of Antiwar.com expects the GOP to employ "The Iron Fist in Tampa" against supporters of Ron Paul:

The extraordinary hostility of the GOP hierarchy to Ron Paul and his supporters hardly comes as a shock: Paul is, after all, the single most trenchant critic of our interventionist foreign policy since William Jennings Bryan, and he is the War Party's deadly enemy. To the servitors of the military-industrial complex, the foreign lobbyists, and would-be Napoleons of the neocon persuasion who hold the reins of power in the GOP, he is the Devil Incarnate. So unwelcome is Paul's presence that I doubt they'll even allow him on the convention floor, even given that his more pliable son is one of the speakers. And you can bet Rand Paul's speech will be vetted under a magnifying glass well in advance: these are people who hate surprises.

For all their talk of "freedom" in the abstract, when it comes to their own party, the Republicans are ruthless authoritarians: we're about to see that demonstrated in Tampa.

The Romney faction is supported with millions of dollars of illegal funds, much of it coming from the Zionist extremist and international casino mogul Sheldon Adelson. The Romney faction has clearly engaged in massive criminal vote fraud during the Republican primaries in order to try and sideline Ron Paul and secure the nomination for Mitt Romney. The criminal faction behind Romney will stop at nothing in getting the nomination for their candidate and preventing Paul supporters from having their say in the convention. Tampa also happens to be the home of Central Command, the military command center for the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. Things are likely to get very nasty in Tampa.

The Zionist criminal faction dominates the Republican National Committee (RNC) and is seeking to continue the "War on Terror" agenda that President George W. Bush started after 9-11. This is the agenda that brought us two disastrous wars in the Middle East and a police state mentality in America. This is the faction that is pushing us to war in Syria and Iran. Most significantly, the Zionists who control the RNC are involved in the false-flag terrorism of 9-11.

The perpetrators of 9-11, the real terrorist masterminds behind the terror atrocity that changed America, are being protected by the secret cabal that controls the White House. The gang that is running the illegal wars and the fraudulent "War on Terror" is the same gang that carried out 9-11. The terrorists behind 9-11 have to maintain tight control of the White House and the political process to prevent a proper investigation for being carried out. It reminds me of how they killed Robert Kennedy to prevent him from becoming president and finding the killers of his brother. The 9-11 cover-up has to be maintained. 9-11 is as important in 2012 as it was in 2002. Solving 9-11 remains the single most important issue facing the American people.

The Zionists who took over the RNC include Lewis Eisenberg, the former executive director of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. Eisenberg became finance chairman of the RNC shortly after 9-11. Eisenberg was director of the Port Authority, which owned the World Trade Center, on 9-11, and had served as the head of Governor Whitman's (NJ) privatization board, just like Ronald Lauder did for Governor Pataki in New York. Together, these two Zionists convinced the governors of the two states to privatize the World Trade Center, an essential part of the conspiracy to destroy the Twin Towers and usher in the fraudulent "War on Terror".

Eisenberg was the person who was responsible for the lease of the WTC going to Larry Silverstein and his Israeli commando buddy, Frank Lowy, at the end of July 2001. The rest is history. Eisenberg is a co-chair of Romney's [Florida Finance Team](#).

The following video clip explains how the RNC is breaking its own rules to try and push Dr. Paul off the scene in Tampa. Paul supporters will not be content with a film tribute to the man who is the most popular presidential candidate of our time. Anything could happen during the next five days in Tampa. Ron Paul could even win the nomination; it has happened before.

Lewis M. Eisenberg on the history of the Port Authority, owner and operator of the World Trade Center:

Sources and Recommended Reading:

Bollyn, Christopher, "The Criminal Plot to Stop Ron Paul," December 29, 2011 (updated in March 2012)  
<http://www.bollyn.com/the-iowa-caucus-and-iran/>


"Lewis Eisenberg is an Angel," YouTube, July 25, 2011  
<http://youtu.be/zuVmVq9frWQ>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

**Exposing Vote Fraud in Iowa**

July 17, 2012

I have written extensively about how the out-of-state Voxeo telephone tally system connected to Israeli intelligence was used to steal the Iowa caucus in 2004 and 2008. In 2012, a similar method of "Black Box" vote fraud was used to manipulate the caucus tally although the identity of the mysterious "Kansas firm" that played this key role in the presidential election has been kept from the public thus far. The rigging of the Iowa caucus to steal the victory from Ron Paul and give a false victory to Mitt Romney is the subject of the following guest editorial published in the *Cedar Rapids Gazette*.



*The Cedar Rapids Gazette*

Transparency is Essential

Sunday, July 15, 2012

By Jim "Woodward" Morrow and Randall "Bernstein" Becker

To the Iowa Republican Caucus Review Committee:

For Iowa to maintain its "first in the nation" status, more than lip service must be given to transparency. Every attempt we have made to discover what the "Kansas Firm" is has been stonewalled — either met with silence or straight out refusal to answer.

In a Jan. 4 post titled "Anatomy of Caucus Night in Iowa," Des Moines Register chief political writer Jennifer Jacobs wrote: "About 50 call-takers at a Kansas firm hired by the Iowa GOP are taking the vote counts from the counties, overseen by a team of about a dozen Iowa Republicans."

Then about two weeks later, Jacobs wrote: "County officials reported the precinct votes by phone with live call-takers or logged in to a security code-protected website."

We believe that it is not possible for the Caucus Review Committee (CRC) to recommend improvements for the Iowa caucus without knowing what in fact happened on caucus night. Specifically, the word "transparency" is written and spoken about regularly, but rarely defined. We contend that the integrity of the process itself hinges upon the ability of the most impartial disinterested observer(s) to witness every step of the voting process, the individuals and companies involved whether paid or volunteer.

Anything less is suspect; fraught with, at best, the lack of accountability, and at worst, the likelihood of outright fraud at the level of vote-counting mechanism.

Knowing what the “Kansas Firm” and the “secure website” are is absolutely essential to a real review of the Iowa GOP caucus. Anything less is an insult to the citizens of Iowa, particularly Iowa Republicans who are keen to know their elected officials are selected honestly.

As then-executive director of the Republican Party of Iowa, and now co-chair with David Chung of the Caucus Review Subcommittee on Tabulations and Operations, Chad Olsen had to have either hired the Kansas Firm or approved whoever did hire it. If there is nothing to hide, then why is this information being hidden?

The Kansas Firm represents a black box of sorts. Votes could have gone in one way and come out another. Every attempt we have made to discover this black box — to peek inside it — has been thwarted. What is the Kansas Firm? Is it really in Kansas, or were the “50 call takers” imported to a room at the Radisson Hotel, next to Republican Party headquarters for caucus night?

What is the URL of the “secure website”? Who or what company set it up? Who had access to it?

This is an abbreviated letter. For the full letter, please visit [CaucusLetter.blog.com](http://CaucusLetter.blog.com) or Contact Us at [CaucusLetter@gmail.com](mailto:CaucusLetter@gmail.com)

Jim Morrow and Randall Becker of Fairfield are registered Republicans.

Sources and Recommended Reading:

"Deconstructing the Iowa Caucus"

<http://one3twelve.blog.com/black-box-blender/>

"Elron – Voxeo: The Israeli Defense Firm That Tallies the Iowa Caucus" by Christopher Bollyn, 31 December 2007

<http://www.bollyn.com/elron-voxeo-the-israeli-defense-firm-that-tallies-the-iowa-caucus/>

"The Criminal Plot to Stop Ron Paul," by Christopher Bollyn, December 29, 2011 (updated several times through March 2012)

46 Google +1 8 0 0

**Ron Paul – Respected, Admired, Electable**

May 24, 2012

The following video shows how Dr. Ron Paul is consistently admired, liked, and supported by a wide variety of Americans, including those from the other party. The point is that Dr. Paul is the truly the most electable candidate in this presidential election.

46 Google +1 8 0 0

**The Media Blackout of the Ron Paul Revolution**

April 12, 2012



There is a political revolution underway in the United States but you certainly wouldn't know about it from the "mainstream" media, which is truly anything but mainstream. Ron Paul has millions of supporters across the nation and has activated college students and young people like no other candidate in history. This photo collage shows some of the huge crowds that have turned out recently to hear Ron Paul in towns and college campuses.



Source: <http://www.dailypaul.com/226084/where-is-the-media-amazing-collage-photo>

A blackout is defined as "a suppression, as of news, by censorship," which is exactly what the controlled media is doing in its handling of the Ron Paul revolution. Ron Paul represents the hopes and dreams of millions of Americans for honest government and a return to true American values, as defined by the U.S. Constitution. The Zionist-controlled media wants to contain the Ron Paul wildfire by suppressing all news about the huge and growing popular support for his presidential candidacy. Reading the controlled press one would think that it's a two-man race between Mitt Romney and Barack Obama, which is what they want us to think. Romney and Obama are both Zionist-controlled candidates, a subject I have written about extensively in my article "The Criminal Plot to Stop Ron Paul".

In this article I explain the Zionist agenda to stop Ron Paul, which involves running several Zionist-controlled and funded candidates to confuse the voters and hide the fact that electronic vote fraud is being used to steal votes – and elections – from Paul across America. This is a criminal plot to steal yet another presidential election.

The supposed Republican front-runner Mitt Romney is strongly supported by his old colleague Benjamin Netanyahu and other supporters from the extreme right-wing Likud party of Israel. This is the radical Zionist faction that is striving to take the United States to war against Iran, a conflict that could easily evolve into a World War.

Barack Obama, on the other hand, is supported by the same people (e.g. the Crown family of Chicago). The blatant Zionist meddling in the primaries and bankrolling of marginal candidates like Newt Gingrich is a good example of how the Zionist establishment seeks to control U.S. politics by controlling both candidates in a two-man race. Except for Ron Paul, all of the presidential candidates are Zionist lackeys. The media censorship of the Ron Paul revolution clearly reveals the anti-American character of the

controlled media. This is the same controlled media that has imposed a 10-year blackout of the truth of 9/11. It's time to shut down the controlled media. It's time for the truth.

46 Google +1 8 0 0

## The Criminal Plot to Stop Ron Paul

Updated March 10, 2012

Added comments on Zionist strategy to stop Ron Paul and re-elect Obama by splitting the Republican base with spoiler candidates.

The Zionist gangsters funding Mitt Romney and his supposed rival Newt Gingrich are part of a bigger strategy to get Barack Obama re-elected and prevent Ron Paul from winning the Republican nomination and the presidency. This is basically the same tactic that these same Zionist forces used to get Obama elected to office in Illinois and the U.S. Senate. In every election that Obama ran for office in Illinois the opposition candidate was effectively destroyed before the election so that there was no real opponent running against him. Ron Paul would win the presidency if he were to face Obama in the general election in November. This is why the Zionists are pouring money and favorable press on Romney, Gingrich, and Santorum. They need to stop Paul so Obama will win. It's a conspiracy to stop Paul.

The following video from Maine shows how caucuses and primaries are being stolen from Ron Paul across the nation. The crimocracy is pulling out all the stops to prevent Ron Paul from winning in any state. What the criminals don't realize is that the supporters of Ron Paul outnumber them 10,000 to 1. The fact that this egregious vote fraud is being done against one of the most popular presidential candidates in many years will help bring the corruption of American elections into the spotlight.



THE CRIMINAL FUNDING OF NEWT GINGRICH – The casino company run by Sheldon Adelson (left), the principal financial backer of Newt Gingrich's presidential bid, has been under criminal investigation for the last year by the Department of Justice and the Securities Exchange Commission for alleged bribery of foreign officials, according to corporate documents.

– ["Bribes, Chinese Mob Ties Alleged at Casino of Gingrich Money Man"](#) , ABC News, 27 January 2012



20 January 2012 – Ron Paul speaks at a rally in Greenville, S.C. the day before the primary. Paul, who has energized the Republican party and the youth vote, drew a large crowd of several hundred despite heavy rain and frigid temperatures, according to the AP. Newt Gingrich, on the other hand, had to cancel a major campaign stop in Charleston because nobody came. With so little popular support how could Gingrich have possibly won the South Carolina primary? Is this yet another case of vote fraud by electronic voting machines? Photo: [\*The Washington Post\*](#)

But, now, how on earth could Newt Gingrich win the South Carolina primary when the day before the vote he had to cancel a major campaign stop because of lack of attendance?

– ["There's something very odd about GOP primary pre-polling and vote"](#) by Eric Blair, 22 January 2012

I just found your site and read your book ( [Solving 9/11](#) )... As I was reading your book I was struck how the Zionists must hate Ron Paul. This would explain why he faces such intense media bias. The other thought I had was that his proximity to winning the White House is directly proportional to his assassination.

– C.H. in Illinois



South Carolinians voting on ES&S voting machines in the presidential primary on January 21, 2012. According to the tally produced by the privately-owned voting machine company ES&S, Ron Paul came in last place behind three Big Government Zionist-funded candidates who support war against Iran. Surprise, surprise.

Ron Paul, a popular Southern conservative who supports states' rights, supposedly came in fourth place in the South Carolina Republican primary on Saturday, January 21. The winner, according to the tally produced by the privately-owned voting machine company ES&S, was Newt Gingrich. Gingrich is supported by Sheldon Adelson, the Zionist casino billionaire who supports the most extreme hard-liners in the right-wing Likud in Israel.

Adelson also finances the Lubavitcher cult, according to a 2003 report in [Executive Intelligence Review](#) which

explains how "the Lubavitchers operate a right-wing political and dirty-money empire from Russia to New York's diamond district, to Israel."



Sheldon Adelson (left) is the hard-line Zionist billionaire who is bankrolling the Gingrich campaign. Here Adelson, a global casino owner, talks with the Israeli president Shimon Peres.

In recent years Gingrich has received at least \$17 million in political contributions from Adelson and his Israeli wife, Miriam, including \$10 million that went to a "super PAC" supporting him in January 2012. Adelson made an initial \$5 million contribution to Winning Our Future, a pro-Gingrich super PAC, shortly before the South Carolina primary. In an article entitled "[The Man Behind Gingrich's Money](#)", the *New York Times* reported that Adelson's support was "pivotal in Mr. Gingrich's victory" in South Carolina. The [same article](#) from 28 January 2012 reported that Adelson has frequently lent his Gulfstream jet to Gingrich for cross-country trips, according to a former Gingrich adviser.

The Gingrich campaign [received a \\$5 million donation](#) from Sheldon Adelson the week before he "won" the South Carolina primary. Gingrich calls the indigenous Palestinian population an "invented" people and supports Israel's right to attack Iran as "self defense". [Gingrich told CNN](#) he would help Israel attack Iran and would move the U.S. embassy to Jerusalem on his first day in office by executive order. These are the hard-line positions Adelson is paying Gingrich to espouse.



"Adelson uses his money to abuse or anoint Israeli prime ministers and American presidents (Gingrich versus Obama)." Source – ["Is Gingrich's Hard Line on Palestine Paid for by Sheldon Adelson?"](#)





Las Vegas casino owner Sheldon Adelson (left) is a close friend and supporter of Benjamin Netanyahu of the right-wing Likud. Netanyahu is one of the suspected planners of the false-flag terror attacks of 9/11 and a friend of Newt Gingrich.

#### THE ZIONIST PLOT TO STOP RON PAUL

Mitt Romney is supported by the Crown family of Chicago, a Zionist family that is closely connected to Israeli military intelligence. Newt Gingrich, on the other hand, is supported by Sheldon Adelson, a Zionist billionaire who works closely with the same people. Are these different factions or is this a Zionist plot that has the same goal?

The Zionist strategy is to stop the very popular conservative Ron Paul. Because there is obviously no candidate that can beat Paul in popularity or on the issues, the Zionists are supporting a slew of venal candidates in order to steal as many votes as possible from Paul. These candidates are essentially a gang of Zionist-funded candidates who form the anti-Paul coalition. Using privately-owned and controlled electronic voting systems like ES&S, the South Carolina primary shows how the Zionists plan to block Ron Paul from being the Republican nominee.

From this event, one can state as fact – not theory, that Israel and its supporters in the US are actively working to derail Ron Paul's race towards the GOP nomination, and the Presidency. This fact alone, should be cause for alarm from even the most moderate of public corridors. Should a foreign country, in this case Israel, be allowed to buy a significant influence through the media in American democratic elections? Should candidates be allowed to accept donations – even indirectly, from foreign interest PACs or agents thereof, thus creating a serious conflict of interest, and threat to national security?

– ["Israeli Lobby launch new Super PAC effort to bring down Ron Paul"](#) , by Patrick Henningsen, Global Research, 21 January 2012

#### WHO STOLE THE IOWA CAUCUS?

After two weeks, the Republican Party of Iowa released its certified tally of the January 3 caucus. The certified final tally released on January 18 indicates that Mitt Romney did not win the Iowa caucus and that the results had been manipulated to give Romney the important first victory. The scale of the fraud in the Iowa caucus is so large that it suggests that there was a hidden hand manipulating the data from across the state as it was sent to party headquarters to give the victory to Romney. This suggests that the people behind Romney are likely to be the people behind the vote fraud, i.e. Lester Crown, Israeli intelligence, and the Zionist Fifth Column in the United States.

I spoke to Ryan Gough, the 24-year-old Organization Director for the Republican Party of Iowa on January

20. I asked him how there could be so many mistakes in so many precincts. The *Des Moines Register*, for example, reported that "typographical errors in tabulations" for two Fayette County precincts resulted in an inflated vote for Romney, who was initially reported to have carried the county by 67 votes. The final count showed Santorum carrying the county by 36 votes. Romney was reported to have won the caucus by only 8 votes on January 3. This was false.

There were similar problems in 131 precincts with double-digit errors in 51 precincts. In spite of the wrong candidate having been declared the winner, Gough defended the caucus, which he called a "volunteer-driven process" in which typographical errors had occurred across the state to benefit Mitt Romney. I don't buy it.

I reminded Gough that we had spoken a week before the primary and that he had told me that the way in which that precincts would communicate the results to caucus headquarters in Des Moines was being kept secret for security purposes. Now that the caucus was over, I asked, could he tell me how this was done. Gough said that a phone and computer system had been used but he had not gone into detail. When I asked if the telephonic system had been voice-to-voice, he said it was.

#### MYSTERY TELECOM

I then asked Gough if any outside agency had been involved in the tally of the results on January 3. He asked me what I meant and I told him that the Democratic Party of Iowa had used a telephonic tally system based in Florida called Voxeo in 2004 and 2008. I had been told by Nicole Sizemore of the GOP of Iowa that no outside agency would be involved in the tally, I told Gough.

Yes, Gough said, there was an outside "telecom" involved in the tally, although he said he could not reveal the name of the company. This "telecom" is the most likely culprit behind the fraudulent tally in the Iowa caucus. I am seeking information from people who were involved in the caucus to find the identity of this mysterious "telecom" that gave Romney the first, but fraudulent victory on January 3. As the rest of the primaries will use even less verifiable electronic voting machine systems, solving what happened in the Iowa caucus is important because it could expose the criminals who steal our elections.

#### THE IOWA CAUCUS WAS STOLEN – ROMNEY DID NOT WIN

GOP officials discovered double-digit errors in 51 of those certified precincts when they compared what was reported on caucus night with the official Form E documents signed by precinct volunteers. Eleven precincts had errors of 50 or more votes.

– [\*Des Moines Register\*](#), 19 January 2012

"The one thing that we can't say is, we can't certify every precinct in the state."

– Iowa GOP Chairman Matt Strawn, 19 January 2012

When I began writing this article, just after Christmas, I was simply going to predict that Mitt Romney would win the Iowa primary by vote fraud, just like Obama and John Kerry had won the Democratic state polls of 2008 and 2004. My dire and pessimistic prediction was based solely on the fact that Romney was being supported by the high-level Zionist family of Lester Crown of Chicago. These are people who steal elections, I thought, and they will find a way for Romney to win the crucial first caucus – one way or another.

I repeatedly queried three different people at the Republican Party of Iowa about how the results would be



tallied and after hearing that they would not use an outside contractor to count the votes, decided to qualify my dismal prediction by giving them the benefit of the doubt. I didn't want to be a bearer of bad news so I wrote this (in the article below):

Mitt Romney would most likely win the caucus if the Republican Party of Iowa were to tally the caucus results using the dodgy telephonic tally system (Voxeo) used by the Iowa Democrats in 2004 and 2008. But after having intensively queried the Republican Party of Iowa about how the results will be tallied it seems to me that the Republican Party of Iowa will be tallying the results themselves in Des Moines... Let's hope Ron Paul wins Iowa in a truly honest and transparent caucus on January 3. We certainly don't need anymore illegal Zionist wars for profit and the people of Iowa know that as well as anyone.

Today, two weeks and three days after Romney was declared the winner in Iowa, the [certified final tally](#) from the Republican Party of Iowa shows that he did NOT win the caucus at all. The caucus process and tally were completely messed up and, according to the final, but still seriously flawed results, Rick Santorum reportedly won the caucus. There is now very clear evidence of serious vote fraud in the Iowa caucus. This cannot be simply dismissed as human error. This was criminal vote fraud that cheated the entire nation. The people involved in this case of egregious vote fraud must be investigated and prosecuted.

#### VOTE FRAUD IN AT LEAST 131 PRECINCTS

Here are the key extracts from the [Washington Post](#) article of 19 January 2012:

Rick Santorum won the Iowa caucuses Thursday — 16 days after the last vote was cast — when the state Republican Party said a final count showed him 34 votes ahead of Mitt Romney.

Santorum's strange, belated victory also served to embarrass the Iowa GOP — which had to admit that it had misallocated some votes, and simply lost some others, in a razor's-edge election where every vote mattered.

It also cast an unflattering light on the old-fashioned and convoluted system that the party uses to collect and count caucus votes.

"It should be like a fine Swiss watch," said Iowa State political science professor Steffen Schmidt. "It's really more like a sundial." He said the system used by Iowa Democrats was not significantly better.

In fact, Iowa Republican leaders seemed to cast doubt on their own results, saying Thursday that it was hard to declare a "winner" without knowing what happened in those eight precincts.

Thursday's final count came from these forms, which had to be submitted by Wednesday evening. The Des Moines Register, citing unidentified officials in the Iowa GOP, reported that in 131 precincts, the forms showed numbers different than those reported on caucus night.

But some Form E's didn't show up at all.

The state party found that it was missing results from eight precincts, spread across five counties.

Source: ["Santorum finished 34 votes ahead of Romney in new Iowa tally; votes from 8 precincts missing"](#), by David A. Fahrenthold and Debbi Wilgoren, *Washington Post*, 19 January 2012

## THE ZIONIST AGENDA – STOP RON PAUL

Now we find out that Texas Congressman and GOP candidate Ron Paul has been targeted by a new breed of PAC, this time with foreign backing.

– ["Israeli Lobby launch new Super PAC effort to bring down Ron Paul"](#) by Patrick Henningsen, Global Research, 21 January 2012

I sort of have to chuckle when they describe you and me as being dangerous. We are dangerous to the status quo in this country.

– Ron Paul after his second-place finish in New Hampshire primary, 10 January 2012

I want to say one other challenge that we face is simply that we must find an alternative to war and bloodshed. Anyone who feels, and there are still a lot of people who feel that way, that war can solve the social problems facing mankind is sleeping through a great revolution. President Kennedy said on one occasion, "Mankind must put an end to war or war will put an end to mankind." The world must hear this. I pray God that America will hear this before it is too late, because today we're fighting a war.

– Martin Luther King, Jr., "Remaining Awake Through A Great Revolution", 31 March 1968, National Cathedral, Washington, D.C.

## AMERICA'S 21-YEAR-OLD WAR

Monday, 16 January 2012, is Martin Luther King Day. The same day will also mark the passage of 21 years since the United States military began fighting in the Middle East. The U.S. has been actively engaged in war fighting in Iraq and the Middle East for a full 21 years, which is to say that Americans who will become adults in 2012 have never known what it means to live in a nation at peace.

Ron Paul, the anti-war Republican candidate for president, is a threat to the status quo of open-ended military intervention in the Middle East. While some 70 percent of the American population wants an immediate end to the U.S.-led wars, Ron Paul is the only candidate that speaks for the majority that wants peace.


Barack Obama has ratcheted up the war in Afghanistan and has imposed sanctions on Iran that will lead to war if not reversed. All of the Republican candidates other than Ron Paul are trying to outdo each other with their bellicose threats against Iran. To understand why the candidates advocate such belligerent positions in spite of the growing anti-war sentiment among American voters, it is essential to understand that they are being paid and supported to do so by their Zionist (i.e. pro-Israel) backers. Mitt Romney, for example, has very close ties to a high-level agent from Israeli military intelligence, the woman he made CEO of Bain & Company.



ROMNEY'S ISRAELI HANDLER – [Orit Gadiesh](#), former "War Room" assistant to Ezer Weizman and Moshe Dayan, is the daughter of Israeli Brigadier General Falk Gadiesh (born Falk Gruenfeld, Berlin, 1921) and his Ukrainian-born wife. Gadiesh is chairman of the management consulting firm [Bain & Company](#), the parent company of Bain Capital, and was the company's managing director under CEO Mitt Romney in 1992. "She's like a Jewish mother figure to many of the people at Bain," ex-Bainie Dan Quinn told [Fortune](#) magazine in 1996.



Bain Capital owns [Clear Channel](#), the largest radio station group owner in the United States. Clear Channel owns the networks which air the most popular radio talk shows, including The Rush Limbaugh Show, The Glenn Beck Program, The Sean Hannity Show, America Now with Andy Dean, Coast to Coast AM, The Savage Nation, The Mark Levin Show, and The Dave Ramsey Show. (Graphic: "[Rush Limbaugh Spills the Beans on the Jewish Conspiracy](#)" by Pat Healy)



Mitt Romney was a co-founder of Bain Capital along with Bill Bain, seen here. Bain was ousted in 1991 and Romney served as CEO of Bain & Company in 1991–1992. In May 1991, while Romney was CEO, Gadish was named chairman of the company's Policy Committee, which set the company's business strategy and policy. In 1992, under Romney, she became managing director. Orit Gadish, who has worked at Bain & Co. since 1977, became chairman of Bain & Co. in 1993.


Orit Gadish, born in Israel in 1951, has worked closely with Mitt Romney since at least 1991, and probably much longer since she joined Bain & Company in 1977, when she was 26. Romney appointed Gadish to his transition team when he became governor of Massachusetts in November 2002. Gadish is the daughter of Falk Gadish, an Israeli brigadier general and former member of the general staff who [reorganized the Israeli army](#) in the early 1950s after a stint at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT).

Falk's daughter Orit was chosen to serve in Israeli military intelligence. Her first position in the Israeli military was as assistant to Ezer Weizman, the deputy chief of staff who later became president of Israel. During the early 1970s, she worked in the war room, a bunker where Gen. Moshe Dayan was in charge. As a war room assistant to Weizman, Orit provided military leaders with documents and correspondence.

Prior to joining Bain & Company, Gadish served in the office of the Deputy Chief of Staff of the Israeli Army. Currently, she is on the board of directors of the Peres Center for Peace, an organization headed by a former chief of staff of the Israeli military, Lt. General Amnon Lipkin-Shahak. The high-level Mossadnik Avner Azulai, managing director of the Marc Rich Foundation, is also on the executive board of the Peres Center.



Mitt Romney speaking at the Mossad's (IDC) Herzliya Conference in 2007.



ROMNEY'S INTELLIGENCE CHIEF AND CAMPAIGN ADVISER – Mitt Romney [named Michael Chertoff](#), the Israeli agent who supervised the [destruction of the crucial evidence](#) of 9/11, co-chair of his counterterrorism and intelligence advisory committee in October 2011. The 9/11 cover-up continues.




Romney greets his old friend, Benjamin Netanyahu, one of the suspected architects of the false-flag terrorism of 9/11.

Mitt Romney attended the Mossad's "Herzliya Conference on Israeli Security" in 2007. Romney and the current Israeli prime minister Benjamin Netanyahu worked together as consultants at the Boston Consulting Group early in their careers.

Romney's close relationship with Orit Gadiesh and Israeli military intelligence is the real reason he is the chosen candidate of the Zionist establishment. Romney is being supported by high-level Zionists, Israeli military intelligence, and their controlled media network. This relationship between the Israeli military and Mitt Romney, a presidential candidate, should be of great concern to all Americans because this is how the Israeli military plans to drag the United States into a war with Iran.

EVIDENCE OF VOTE FRAUD SURFACES





WHO STOLE THE IOWA CAUCUS? – Edward True, 28, helped count the 53 votes in his precinct and jotted down the results. When he checked to make sure the Republican Party of Iowa got the count right, he said he was shocked to find they hadn't. "When Mitt Romney won Iowa by eight votes and I've got a 20-vote discrepancy here, that right there says Rick Santorum won Iowa,"

#### WHO REALLY WON IN IOWA?

There are alarming news reports that show that the tally of the Republican caucus in Iowa was manipulated giving fraudulent additional votes to Mitt Romney. In one precinct alone, where Romney received only two votes, the tally showed twenty-two, an increase of 1,000 percent! If such egregious vote fraud happened in one precinct, it most likely happened in others:

Des Moines TV station KCCI reported that a Ron Paul backer attending his first precinct caucuses in Appanoose County, in southern Iowa, said the vote from his precinct was inaccurately reported and gave Romney 20 more votes than he actually received.

The Paul supporter, Edward True of Moulton, told The Associated Press that he helped count the ballots cast at his precinct caucuses and that Romney received two votes. True said he was shocked to see the official results on the Republican Party website showed Romney with 22 votes in the precinct.

"I assume somebody made a typographical error," he said in a telephone interview. True said that when he contacted local Republican officials, "They said they would sort it out in the next couple of weeks, but how many primaries will have happened by that time?"

– "Santorum shrugs off report of Iowa vote errors" , 6 January 2012

#### IMMEDIATE AUDIT OF IOWA RESULTS REQUIRED

Edward True, 28, said at his 53-person caucus at the Garrett Memorial Library, Romney received only two votes. According to the Iowa Republican Party's website, True's precinct cast 22 votes for Romney. "This is huge," True said. "It essentially changes who won." While the mainstream media portrays this as [a single typographical error](#) it may be an indication of a more serious case of vote fraud. Edward True's discovery is clear evidence of vote fraud and a complete audit of the Iowa tally must be done to determine if the caucus results were manipulated on a statewide scale.

When I began this article about Ron Paul and the Iowa caucus, a week before it happened, I was strongly inclined to predict that Mitt Romney was going to win, based solely on my knowledge that he is



supported financially by the Crown family of Chicago, a family of high-level Zionist weapons dealers closely tied to the state of Israel. The Crown family, which profits directly from war and defense spending, also supports Barack Obama and is one of those highly-connected Zionist clans that knows how to make their candidates win elections – one way or another. Lester Crown also sits on the board of the Peres Center, along with Orit Gadiesh and other high-level Mossadniks.

## ROMNEY'S ISRAELI HANDLER



Orit Gadiesh (age 60) is a high-level Israeli military intelligence agent at Bain & Co. who has worked closely with Mitt Romney for decades.

GADIESH, Falk	GADIESH	HEAD TAX EXEMPT	50A	V-4947090	Dipl. Pass
GADIESH, Anna	GADIESH	HEAD TAX EXEMPT	50A	V-4947090	Dipl. Pass
GADIESH, Orit	GADIESH	HEAD TAX EXEMPT	50A	V-4947090	Dipl. Pass

Orit Gadiesh, born 1951, is the daughter of Falk Gadiesh (formerly Gruenfeld) one of the Israeli army's first brigadier generals and a member of the General Staff. The Gruenfeld family immigrated to Palestine in 1939 when Falk was 18. He became a Brigadier and was sent by Israeli military intelligence to the United States in 1952 (see names on KLM's U.S. passenger manifest) on a diplomatic passport to study at MIT. He then returned to Israel and reorganized the Israeli army.

Auch der Stamm des jüngsten Firmengründer-Sohnes, Max Grünfeld, ist endgültig in Israel zu Hause. Seine Frau, Ilse, wurde noch im Jahre ihrer Einwanderung in Haifa, 1939, Witwe, da ihr Mann dort, von den Aufregungen und Anstrengungen der Verfolgungszeit (als verantwortlich für das Personal-Ressort stand er besonders im Mittelpunkt der Angriffe) gebrochen, eine notwendig gewordene Operation nicht überlebte<sup>63</sup>. Mit Fleiß, Sparsamkeit und unter Ausnutzung ihrer Befähigung für handwerkliche Reparaturen brachte auch sie es zustande, nie unter die Räder zu kommen und ihrem damals noch jugendlichen Sohn Falk eine Erziehung zukommen zu lassen, die diesen in den Stand setzte, bei Neuschaffung der Armee des jungen Staates eine hervorragende organisatorische Rolle zu spielen, so daß er in jugendlichem Alter im Generalstab der neuen Israel-Armee den Rang eines Brigadiers bekleidete. Da er für Auslands-Dienstreisen einen Diplomatenpass erhielt, der üblicherweise nicht auf den alten „deutschen“ Namen Grünfeld ausgestellt werden konnte, hebräisierte er — als einziges Mitglied unserer Familie — seinen Namen in „Gadiesh“. Er lebt jetzt, mit Frau und zwei Töchtern, in Israel als industrieller „Efficiency-expert“, neue Industrien im Lande organisierend und beratend<sup>64</sup>.

A book about the Gruenfeld family provides information about Brigadier General Falk Gadiesh. (Source: [Das Leinenhaus Gruenfeld](#), Berlin 1967)

Romney's support from the Crown family, which is closely tied to the state of Israel and its military intelligence agencies, is most likely based on his decades of working with Bain & Company, which is headed by Orit Gadiesh, an Israeli military intelligence agent. Romney and Gadiesh have worked together at Bain since the early 1990s. Gadiesh is the Israeli intelligence agent who steers Bain & Co., and who probably advises Mitt Romney on the Middle East and other matters. She served on Romney's transition team when he became governor of Massachusetts.

The following extracts provide some basic information on the Israeli agent who controls Mitt Romney:

Founded in 1973 by former Boston Consulting Group vice president William H. Bain, Jr., Bain & Co., based in Boston, has offices in Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, New York, Palo Alto and San Francisco. After experiencing a serious crisis in the 1980s and 1990s, Bain rebounded under the leadership of former Massachusetts governor Mitt Romney (who had previously worked with Bain Capital, a separate company) and Orit Gadiesh, one of the few women to head a top consulting firm. It had revenues of \$1.6 billion and over 4,000 employees in 2007.

Source: <http://www.inthepublicinterest.org/organization/bain-company>

Orit Gadiesh

52, Chair, Bain & Company

She stands over 6 feet tall in heels. She worked in military intelligence in her native Israel. And she runs one of the world's largest, most elite business-consulting firms, with big-hitter clients like De Beers, ITT, and Dell. Twelve years ago, Gadiesh succeeded Mitt Romney as head of what was once called the KGB of consulting firms. Given her connections to the governor, it was no surprise when he named her to his transition team. Gadiesh was one of only two Bostonians listed the last time Fortune magazine published its female power list in 2000.

Source: [http://www.bostonmagazine.com/articles/power\\_the\\_100\\_women\\_who\\_run\\_this\\_town/page2](http://www.bostonmagazine.com/articles/power_the_100_women_who_run_this_town/page2)

A Romney victory in Iowa, I wrote, would have certainly been the result if the Republicans were to use the same dodgy telephonic tally system (Voxeo, a firm tied to Israeli military intelligence) which had been used by the Democrats of Iowa in the two previous elections. I have written extensively about how the Israeli-linked Voxeo system was used to manipulate the tallies of the Iowa Democrat caucuses of 2004 and 2008. The rigging of the Iowa caucus allows for the presidential election to be stolen at the beginning – like a baby from its crib. It now appears very likely that the Republican caucus of 2012 was also manipulated and stolen. The national importance of the Iowa caucus means that we have a right to demand an independent audit of the results. The evidence indicates that the Republican Party of Iowa is not able to provide verifiable results in a timely manner. We should not have to wait two weeks to have the results from such a simple, but important poll.

One week before the caucus I contacted the Republican Party of Iowa and spoke with three different people in their communications department about how the results would be tallied. Patrick, the first person I spoke to about the vote counting process, told me that he could not reveal how the tally would be done "for security purposes." Ryan, the second person, told me that the precincts would communicate the results to caucus headquarters in Des Moines but the way in which the precinct results were to be sent was being kept secret for security purposes.

Finally, I spoke to Nicole Sizemore, the assistant director of communications. Sizemore told me that there was no outside agency involved in the tally process and that it would be "very transparent" with oversight by observers from the different campaigns. The complete tally with "total breakdown" by precinct, she said, would be released within 14 days of the January 3 caucus. I was glad to hear this and decided not to come out with a gloomy prediction that Mitt Romney would win. With the information I had from the Republican Party of Iowa it seemed that there was a good chance for a honest and transparent caucus. It now seems that my trust was misplaced and that my instinct that vote fraud would give Romney a victory in Iowa was correct after all.

If the statement of Edward True is true and correct, the tally numbers for Mitt Romney were manipulated. If vote fraud happened in True's precinct, it probably happened in others all across the state. The tally from the Iowa caucus must be examined to see if the statewide results match the precinct results for each of the 1,774 precincts. Now that the Iowa caucus is over, the method by which the results were communicated to caucus HQ needs to be exposed and examined because, just like the Voxeo telephonic vote-counting system, this appears to have been the weak link through which the results were manipulated giving Mitt Romney his victory in Iowa.

\* \* \* \* \*

To those who believe that America has a "free press", impartial and unbiased, just watch the following short video in which CNN blatantly censored the comments of Corporal Jesse Thorsen, a U.S. Army soldier from West Des Moines who has served multiple tours in Iraq and Afghanistan – and who supports Ron Paul because he is tired of war and would like to have some "peace time" duty:

"I'm really excited about a lot of his ideas, especially when it comes to bringing the soldiers home. I've been serving for ten years now and all ten years of those have been during wartime. I would like to see a little peace time army."

– Corporal Jesse Thorsen to CNN

NAME	VOTES ▲	% WON	
Mitt Romney	30,015	24.6%	✓
Rick Santorum	30,007	24.5%	
Ron Paul	26,219	21.5%	
Newt Gingrich	16,251	13.3%	
Rick Perry	12,604	10.3%	
Michele Bachmann	6,073	5.0%	
Jon Huntsman	745	0.6%	
Others	341	0.3%	

99.6% of precincts reporting

Ron Paul came in third place in Iowa behind the two Zionist-supported pro-war candidates, Mitt Romney and Rick Santorum. Santorum recently stated on "Meet the Press" that [he would bomb](#) Iran's nuclear reactors if elected president. Is that an acceptable policy statement from a candidate for president? Does 50 percent of the Republican Party of Iowa truly want to wage yet another disastrous and costly war in the Middle East, i.e. against the Islamic Republic of Iran, or is there another explanation for these results? These results are from the [Washington Post](#) and are not final.

I had always believed, and still believe, that oppressive forces draw much of their strength from their ability to wield their power in secret.

– *Julian Assange – The Unauthorized Autobiography* (2011)

Israeli general Amos Yadlin traveled to Chicago in an effort to enlist [Lester] Crown's help in convincing the administration to attack Iran.

– ["Israel, Big Money and Obama"](#), 20 August 2010

The Crown family supports candidates from both parties, depending on their strategic goal. Susan Crown, for example, who gave at least \$12,300 to candidate Obama between 2003 and 2007 is now supporting the Republican Mitt Romney's bid for the White House.

– ["Chicago's Elders of Zion and Obama's War for Profit"](#), October 2011

The final report of the [Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan](#) said that somewhere between \$31 and \$60 billion has been lost through contract waste and fraud in the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. Put another way, war profiteers took as much as \$60 billion from taxpayers and didn't give us anything in return.

– ["No Success in Iraq – Unless You're a War Profiteer"](#) by Robert Greenwald and Derrick Crowe

The ongoing cover-up of 9/11, the fraudulent "War on Terror", and the trillion-dollar U.S. military intervention in the Middle East are the key elements coloring the political context of the U.S. presidential election of 2012. The false-flag terror attacks of 9/11 and the U.S. military aggression in the Middle East are two sides of the same counterfeit coin which has been used for the past decade to deceive and defraud the American people – and the world. The massive fraud that began with 9/11 and which has been used to rob the nation

of untold billions could not possibly be maintained were it not for the utterly corrupt political establishment of Washington, D.C. and the controlled media. This ongoing deception is the real background against which the Iowa caucus, the first poll of the 2012 presidential election, needs to be viewed.

The Republican caucus in Iowa on January 3 is of great importance because it is the first poll to determine which candidate will challenge Barack Obama for the presidency. A CNN/Time poll indicates that Ron Paul and Mitt Romney are currently the front runners polling about 25 percent each. Two days earlier Ron Paul was the clear front runner, several points ahead of Romney and Newt Gingrich, who has now fallen further behind. Despite Paul's common sense positions and popularity with voters, the paid pundits of the controlled media outlets constantly attack his positions as "out of the mainstream".

Paul, who is a very popular candidate, is treated most unfairly by so-called alternative media outlets such as [Salon](#) and the [Huffington Post](#). When one reads, for example, the first sentence of the [Bob Cesca](#) piece on the Huffington Post in which he says progressives that support Ron Paul are "out of their blessed gourds", it is worth remembering that the Huffington Post was acquired by AOL in February 2011 for [\\$315 million](#). There is always a political reason for such media acquisitions and the Ron Paul candidacy is that reason. This is a perfect example of how, and why, the so-called alternative media is controlled by the same sinister forces that control the "mainstream" press. What better way is there to control the thoughts of progressive voters than to control the websites they rely on for information?



Ron Paul is opposed to waging war against Iran.

I am just absolutely convinced that the best formula for giving us peace and preserving the American way of life is freedom, limited government, and minding our own business overseas.

– Ron Paul

A CNN/ORC poll released in November found that 68 percent of Americans opposed the war in Iraq and 63 percent are against the one in Afghanistan. Yet, we keep hearing that only hawks have a chance to be elected president... Dr. Ron Paul is firmly anti-war, anti-empire, and anti-torture. He has been drawing large crowds in Iowa. He has legions of volunteers and a steady source of money through Internet fundraising. His supporters are willing, as one said, to "crawl over broken glass" for him. So if there is snow in Iowa or New Hampshire, that should be no problem.

– Ron Paul, the anti-war candidate" by Mary Meehan, *The Baltimore Sun*, 2 January 2012

<http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/oped/bs-ed-antiwar-20120102,0,6340945.story>

One of the key issues, perhaps the most crucial issue, in the GOP Iowa caucus is the position of the candidates regarding the Zionist war policy against Iran. Ron Paul is opposed to waging war against Iran while Romney and most of the others are eager armchair warriors against the Islamic Republic of Iran. With the new U.S. sanctions seeking to strangle Iran financially having brought counter threats to close the Strait of Hormuz, the U.S. position vis-a-vis Iran is now of the utmost importance as the chances of war are greater than ever.

Iran has been the subject of a Zionist war strategy for years in the same way that organized Jewry declared war on Germany in the early 1930s, long before the invasion of Poland in 1939. The punitive sanctions against Iran are war by other methods and unless the United States changes its approach to Iran it will find itself in another major war in the Middle East, one with drastic and unforeseeable consequences.



Henry, Lester, and Susan Crown of Chicago. A family of Zionist war profiteers, the Crowns became rich on U.S. defense spending in World War II and have profited on every war since. The Crowns are big financial supporters of Republican Mitt Romney and the Democrat Barack Obama – both Zionist-controlled pro-war candidates.

The Crown family of Chicago is one of the key Zionist supporters of Barack Obama and Mitt Romney. As a major shareholder of General Dynamics, the Crown family profits from U.S. defense spending and war. As a leading Zionist family, the Crowns support sanctions and the Israeli warmongering against Iran. The Crowns have invested in Mitt Romney because he is willing to wage war to please his Zionist supporters. To push the nation into war to serve a foreign state is treasonous.





Mitt Romney is hawkish on Iran because he is supported by Zionist warmongers like the Crown family of Chicago.

Mitt Romney would most likely win the caucus if the Republican Party of Iowa were to tally the caucus results using the dodgy telephonic tally system (Voxeo) used by the Iowa Democrats in 2004 and 2008. But after having intensively queried the Republican Party of Iowa about how the results will be tallied it seems to me that the Republican Party of Iowa will be tallying the results themselves in Des Moines.

Nicole Sizemore, assistant communications director for the GOP of Iowa, told Bollyn.com that there is no outside contractor involved in the tally and that it will be a "very transparent" poll with multiple observers. Although Sizemore could not reveal the specifics about how the results would be communicated to headquarters, she confirmed that a complete breakdown of the 1,774 precinct results would be published within 14 days. These are the key elements of an open and transparent poll.

This is good news because it suggests that the results of the January 3 Republican caucus are likely to be more representative, honest, and accurate than the Democratic caucus in 2008 that helped bring the dark horse candidate Barack Obama to power. In 2004 another dark horse candidate, John Kerry, came from behind to run against George W. Bush. In both cases, pro-war candidates from the back of the pack won the rigged caucus, effectively removing the question of the illegal war policy from the debate. Let's hope Ron Paul wins Iowa in a truly honest and transparent caucus on January 3. We certainly don't need anymore illegal Zionist wars for profit and the people of Iowa know that as well as anyone. Iowa has lost 69 servicemen in the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq with more than 477 wounded, according to [iCasualties.org](http://iCasualties.org).

In the following video clips from a Republican debate Ron Paul explains his position on Iran. Rick Santorum recently stated that he would bomb Iran's nuclear facilities if elected president. Iran is the central foreign policy question facing the presidential candidates. The U.S. has been at war in the Middle East for 21 years, since January 1991, when President George H.W. Bush went to war against Iraq over Kuwait.

Sources and Recommended Reading:

ABC News, "Bribes, Chinese Mob Ties Alleged at Casino of Gingrich Money Man", 27 January 2012

<http://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/bribes-chinese-mob-ties-alleged-casino-gingrich-money/story?id=15455918>

"A Bigger Scandal: Illegal U.S. Funding of Sharon's Likud" by Anton Chaitkin, *Executive Intelligence Review*, 24 January 2003

[http://www.larouchepub.com/other/2003/3003likud\\_usgangsta.html](http://www.larouchepub.com/other/2003/3003likud_usgangsta.html)

Associated Press, "Gingrich cancels campaign event, poor attendance", 20 January 2012

[http://www.mercurynews.com/presidentelect/ci\\_19782823](http://www.mercurynews.com/presidentelect/ci_19782823)

Amnon Lipkin-Shahak, Wikipedia, January 2012

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amnon\\_Lipkin-Shahak](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amnon_Lipkin-Shahak)

"Bain names two top executives", *Boston Globe*, 7 May 1991

Bollyn, Christopher, "Chicago's Elders of Zion and Obama's War for Profit", 14 October 2011

<http://www.bollyn.com/occupy-dc>

Bollyn, "The 9/11 Cover Up – The Destruction of the Steel Evidence", May 2011

<http://www.bollyn.com/the-911-cover-up-the-destruction-of-the-steel-evidence>

Bollyn, "ELRON – VOXEO: The Israeli Defense Firm That Tallies the Iowa Caucus", 31 December 2007

<http://www.bollyn.com/elron-voxeo-the-israeli-defense-firm-that-tallies-the-iowa-caucus>

Bollyn, "Voxeo's Ties to 9-11 and the Israeli Military", 5 January 2008

<http://www.bollyn.com/voxeos-ties-to-9-11-and-the-israeli-military>

Bollyn, "Bollyn Responds to Voxeo", 7 January 2008

<http://www.bollyn.com/bollyn-responds-to-voxeo>

[\*Das Leinenhaus Grünfeld\*](#), Duncker & Humblot, Berlin, 1967

Henningsen, Patrick, "Israeli Lobby launch new Super PAC effort to bring down Ron Paul," *Global Research*, 21 January 2012

<http://globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=28776>

"In the War Room", by Glenn Rifkin, *New York Times*, 8 September 1996

<http://www.nytimes.com/1996/09/08/business/in-the-war-room.html?scp=2&sq=Gadiesh&st=cse>

"Israel, Big Money and Obama – Mr. Crown and the President", by Margaret Kimberley, *CounterPunch.org*, 20 August 2010

<http://www.counterpunch.org/2010/08/20/israel-big-money-and-obama/>

"No Success in Iraq -- Unless You're a War Profiteer", by Robert Greenwald and Derrick Crowe, *Huffingtonpost.com*, 15 December 2011

[http://www.huffingtonpost.com/robert-greenwald-and-derrick-crowe/no-success-in-iraq--unles\\_b\\_1151171.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/robert-greenwald-and-derrick-crowe/no-success-in-iraq--unles_b_1151171.html)

"Orit Gadiesh rises to head Bain & Co. in Boston", *Boston Globe*, 31 March 1992

Orit Gadiesh, Chairman, Bain & Company, MIT Sloan School of Management

<http://mitsloan.mit.edu/corporate/pdf/gadiesh%20.pdf>

Orit Gadiesh Interview, Harvard Business School

<http://www.hbs.edu/entrepreneurs/pdf/oritgadiesh.pdf>

Peres Center for Peace, International Board of Governors, Cached November 2011

<http://web.archive.org/web/20100822121512/http://www.peres-center.org/fulllist.html>

Peres Center for Peace, President and Executive Board, Cached November 2011

<http://web.archive.org/web/20100906042623/http://www.peres-center.org/ExecutiveBoard.html>

"Romney taps Chertoff as campaign adviser", by Max Pizarro, PolitickerNJ.com, 6 October

2011 <http://www.politickernj.com/51511/romney-taps-chertoff-campaign-advisor>

"Rush Limbaugh Spills the Beans on the Jewish Conspiracy" (Satire) by Pat Healy, 21 December 2010

<http://theforbiddentruth.net/11369-rush-limbaugh-spills-beans-jewish-conspiracy.html>

"The Man Behind Gingrich's Money", *New York Times*, 28 January 2012

[http://www.nytimes.com/2012/01/29/us/politics/the-man-behind-gingrichs-money.html?\\_r=1&pagewanted=all](http://www.nytimes.com/2012/01/29/us/politics/the-man-behind-gingrichs-money.html?_r=1&pagewanted=all)

"Why Haven't ES&S Voting Machines Been Outlawed?", CrooksandLiars.com, 12 June 2010

<http://crooksandliars.com/karoli/why-arent-ess-voting-machines-outlawed>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

## Who is the Mystery Telecom in the Iowa Caucus?

February 1, 2012

I have made several calls to the Republican Party of Iowa in the past few weeks asking about the name of the mystery "telecom" that was involved in the January 3 caucus. Today, I spoke with Ryan Gough, organization director of the party. Once again I asked Gough if he could tell me the name of the telecom involved in the caucus. He asked me to hold on "a second" and went to meet with his boss, I assume.

After a few minutes Gough returned and told me that they would not be releasing the name of the company that was involved in the tally. I asked him why not and he simply said that they would not provide that information – now or in the future. I then asked him if the company was Voxeo and he claimed to know nothing about Voxeo. Voxeo is the Israeli-linked telecom company that was involved in the flawed Democratic caucuses of Iowa in 2004 and 2008.

Why is the GOP of Iowa hiding the name of the company that helped transmit the data on January 3? I suspect that the mystery "telecom" was the weak link by which the data was manipulated. There is no reason that the GOP of Iowa should be able to keep the identity of the company secret. I hope there are people in Iowa or in the Ron Paul campaign who might know the identity of this mystery company and pass it along to me. I have

contacted the *Des Moines Register* and hope they can help. Because the GOP of Iowa is a political party this information cannot be obtained through a FOIA.

46 Google +1 8 0 0

## The Reality of Electronic Vote Fraud in America

January 26, 2012

As this system is the only form and principle of government by which liberty can be preserved, and the only one that can embrace all the varieties of a great extent of country, it necessarily follows, that to have the representation real, the election must be real; and that where the election is a fiction, the representation is a fiction also. Like will always produce like.

– Thomas Paine to the Citizens of the United States, 29 January 1803

### "WHERE THE ELECTION IS A FICTION"

While most Americans will vote on electronic voting machines in 2012, the public is generally unaware of how easy it is for an election to be stolen by the people who control these machines. This is the fundamental problem that has disenfranchised the American voter and has turned our elections into fiction. As Thomas Paine wrote in 1803, "where the election is a fiction, the representation is a fiction also."

The following three short video clips explain why a free people should not allow elections to be conducted using such machines. The simple and sure way to restore our democratic franchise is to return to hand-counted paper ballots which are openly counted in front of the public in each polling place. This is the absolutely essential element, the sine qua non, of open and transparent elections. This is what we must return to in every county in every state across the nation.

For more on how elections should be conducted, see my article ["Restoring Democracy in America"](#)

Two of the biggest voting machine companies, ES&S and Diebold, were set up by two brothers from Omaha, Robert and Todd Urosevich. The 2011 obituary of their mother, Helen Catherine Varda Urosevich, has been added at the end of this piece to help identify the Urosevich brothers.

### Endnote on Urosevich family of Omaha:

Helen Catherine Varda Urosevich

Urosevich, Helen Catherine Varda, Oct 24, 1923 – Mar 15, 2011

Age 87, born in Omaha. Preceded in death by parents, Louie and Thelma Varda, and grandson, Nicholas Varda Urosevich. Survived by husband, Steve Urosevich, Omaha; sons: Steve L. Urosevich and Donna Lawton, Omaha, Robert and Julie Urosevich of McKinney TX, Todd and Mary Urosevich; daughter, Sueann

and Mike Devereaux, all of Omaha; sister, Dorothy Soland of Tucson, AZ. Helen was loved by 12 grandchildren and 21 great-grandchildren. Helen was an active member in the St. Nicholas Serbian Orthodox Church.

VISITATION with the family Thursday, 5pm–7pm, followed by a PRAYER SERVICE at the West Center Chapel. Private family interment, Evergreen Memorial Park. Memorials to St. Nicholas Serbian Orthodox Church or charity of your choice. HEAFEY–HEAFEY–HOFFMANN

DWORAK–CUTLER

WEST CENTER CHAPEL

78th & West Center 402–391–3900

Published in *Omaha World-Herald* from March 16 to March 17, 2011

46 Google +1 8 0 0

## Restoring Democracy in America

March 19, 2011

The greatness of America lies not in being more enlightened than any other nation, but rather in her ability to repair her faults.

– Alexis de Tocqueville (1805–1859), author of *Democracy in America*

As this system is the only form and principle of government by which liberty can be preserved, and the only one that can embrace all the varieties of a great extent of country, it necessarily follows, that to have the representation real, the election must be real; and that where the election is a fiction, the representation is a fiction also. Like will always produce like.

– Thomas Paine to the Citizens of the United States, 29 January 1803

Tyranny naturally arises out of democracy.

– Plato



The reason why I'm so strong on democracy is democracies don't go to war with each other...I've got great

faith in democracies to promote peace. And that's why I'm such a strong believer that the way forward in the Middle East, the broader Middle East, is to promote democracy.

– President George W. Bush [comments on Middle East](#), 12 November 2004



It's in our national interest that the work you're doing here, the work of helping the Afghans develop a democracy – it's in the interests of your children and your grandchildren. Because, you see, democracies yield the peace we all want. History has taught us democracies don't war. Democracies – you don't run for office in a democracy and say, please vote for me, I promise you war. You run for office in democracies, and say, vote for me, I'll represent your interests; vote for me, I'll help your young girls go to school, or the health care you get improved. Democracies yield peace, and that's what we want.

– President George W. Bush [to U.S. Troops in Afghanistan](#), Bagram Air Base, 1 March 2006

The American relationship to democracy is very much like the Soviet relationship to communism. American politicians and the media talk about democracy in the same way that Soviet leaders and their media spoke about communism. The Soviet Union had institutes devoted to communism and the Kremlin fostered communist regimes in nations far and wide. Likewise, the United States has institutes that claim to promote democracy around the world and Washington wages war to foster democracy in distant lands. While U.S. presidents blather about waging war in support of democracy in Afghanistan and Iraq, most Americans assume that they live in a democratic nation – but do they? If the United States is a truly a functioning democracy in which the government is controlled by representatives who are elected by the people, why is there such a disconnect between what the American people want from their government – and what they get?

The United States of America is generally thought to be the world's most powerful democratic nation and the leading proponent of democracy. According to President George W. Bush, this is why the U.S. was attacked on 9/11. As the president told the nation, "America was targeted for attack because we're the brightest beacon for freedom and opportunity in the world."

After 9/11, claiming it was in the nation's interest to remove the Taliban regime and install a democratic government in Kabul, the Bush administration invaded Afghanistan. As Bush told U.S. troops stationed in Afghanistan in 2006, "History has taught us democracies don't war...Democracies yield peace, and that's what we want." The main reason for the decade-long military occupation of Afghanistan, we are told, is to protect its fledgling democracy. Likewise for the eight-year-old occupation of Iraq.

The Bush belief that "democracies yield peace" is merely a continuation of the democracy doctrine of



Bill Clinton, which he articulated in his State of the Union address in January 1994, saying, "the best strategy to ensure our security and to build a durable peace is to support the advance of democracy elsewhere."



Ultimately, the best strategy to ensure our security and to build a durable peace is to support the advance of democracy elsewhere. Democracies don't attack each other.

– President Bill Clinton, State Of The Union Address, 25 January 1994

## ZIONISM vs DEMOCRACY

All those who seek to destroy the liberties of a democratic nation ought to know that war is the surest and shortest means to accomplish it.

– Alexis de Tocqueville

While Clinton and Bush claimed to be proponents of democracy, their deeds utterly failed to match their words. In 2006, for example, when the Palestinians held free and fair elections, the United States refused to even accept the results. In an open and democratic election for the Palestinian Legislative Council (PLC) the clear winner was Hamas, who won the majority and should have been able to form a government. Because the Hamas victory did not suit the Israelis, the United States ignored the election results and banned any meeting with Hamas officials. As a result of the Israeli ban on Hamas, the U.S. government has supported the unelected Palestinian Authority and its self-proclaimed president for the past five years. The Zionist *diktat* clearly trumped democracy for the Palestinians, a pattern we have seen repeated many times during the past decade.

The United States supports brutal dictatorships and undemocratic regimes across the Middle East. Israel portrays itself as a democracy although full rights and the democratic franchise is reserved only for Jews. A Jewish settler on the West Bank, for example, is enfranchised to vote while his Christian or Muslim neighbor is not. Why would a democracy like America support a dictatorship or an exclusive tribal state like Israel or Saudi Arabia? It simply doesn't make sense unless one considers that the U.S. political system is controlled by Zionists. An oil-rich democracy like Norway has to sell its oil in the same way as a dictatorship with oil.

The Obama administration has accepted the Israeli *diktat* and still refuses to meet with Hamas. Likewise, Obama has shown tepid support for pro-democracy movements across the Middle

East. Rather than supporting peaceful protestors calling for democratic change, the U.S. has done little to prevent the tyrants it works with in the region from using lethal force against their own citizens. In some cases, such as with its allies Egypt and Bahrain, the U.S. has evidently secretly supported the use of brutal force against pro-democracy protestors.

This raises a fundamental question about the nature of the U.S. government. If the United States is truly a democratic state governed by representatives elected by the people, why does it support regimes that are clearly anti-democratic? Why does the U.S. president ignore the will of the citizenry? The American people were strongly opposed, in some polls by a ratio of 1,000 to 1, to the Bush-Obama bailout of Maurice Greenberg's criminal A.I.G. and the greedy investment banks it insured, yet the incredibly costly bailout sailed through Congress. When it comes to the fraudulent "War on Terror", a recent *Washington Post*/ABC News poll found that nearly two-thirds of Americans say Obama's war in Afghanistan is not worth fighting and nearly three-quarters of the population say he should withdraw a "substantial number" of combat troops from Afghanistan this summer, but only 39 percent say they expect he will do that. How can such a disconnect exist in a country that is supposedly governed by a president and congressmen elected by the people?

Ten years ago, I wrote an article about the electronic voting machines introduced in Chicago for the 2000 presidential election. Having found that these machines completely removed the citizen from the vote-counting process and gave that power to the people who controlled the machines, I called the article "[The Death of Democracy Or May the Best Hacker Win](#)". I have monitored several elections in Chicago since 2000 and have found that there is absolutely no citizen oversight of the vote counting process and no transparency whatsoever in how the results are tallied. Furthermore, there is absolutely no discussion in the media of these most obvious and serious flaws in our elections. Elections are really only a big story in America every four years and the fact that Americans have completely lost their democratic franchise does not seem to concern many people because they do not really understand how elections are supposed to be held in a democracy.

## A PRIMER ON DEMOCRATIC ELECTIONS


The health of a democratic society may be measured by the quality of functions performed by private citizens.

– Alexis de Tocqueville

Franchise means the right to vote in a country's elections. Americans are enfranchised when they have the right to vote for their representatives. The word "franchise" comes from the Latin word *francus*, which means free. In French, the words *franc* and *franche* derive from the Germanic tribe of Franks, because only Franks had full freedom in Frankish Gaul. The French take their democratic franchise very seriously. Having observed elections in other nations, I was most impressed by the simplicity and beauty of the open and transparent elections held in France in May 2002.

Americans don't need a violent revolution to throw out the criminals who control the United States. What is required is to restore democracy in America by returning to open and democratic elections in which the citizens count the votes openly in each polling station. Because Americans don't do this and don't understand how it should be done, I will explain how democratic elections should be done using my photographs from the French presidential election I monitored in Avignon on 5 May 2002, between the incumbent Jacques Chirac and Jean Marie Le Pen of France's National Front.

Voter turnout was nearly 80 percent of the 41 million registered voters in France in the second round of the presidential election on 5 May 2002. Nearly all of 33 million ballots cast had been hand counted in each polling station within one hour after the polls closed at 6 p.m. and the national tally was virtually complete by 8 p.m. The French method of voting and the hand-counting of the votes by the citizens is an excellent model of how open and transparent democratic elections should be done. There is no valid reason why Americans should not return to this foolproof method of voting. This is, in fact, the only way to remove the criminals who have taken over our government.



Campaign posters for the two candidates in Paris, May 2002



The author speaking to the press at Le Pen headquarters in St. Cloud near Paris



Le Pen giving a press conference several days before the election



Christopher Bollyn with Jean Marie Le Pen





Le Pen signing his biography for the author




Bollyn interviews Le Pen's daughter, Marine, who is currently the leading presidential candidate in France. Elections will be held in 2012.



The famous old town of Avignon



An enfranchised French citizen signs in before casting his ballot.



In French presidential elections, the voter only chooses between the two strongest candidates. The voter takes a ballot for each candidate and puts his choice in a blue envelope in the privacy of the voting booth. He then deposits his envelope in the transparent box in front of the election judges. In America, on the other hand, the ballot on Election Day is often cluttered with hundreds of choices. The election thieves intentionally clutter the ballot in order to confuse the voters and provide an excuse for using electronic vote-counting machines, which are controlled by members of the crimocracy.



**Jean-Marie LE PEN**

An actual ballot for Le Pen from the election of 2002



After the polls close, the box of votes is opened by the local election judge in front of the press and the public.



The blue envelopes containing the ballots are dumped on the table in front of the citizens who will count the votes.



The envelopes containing the ballots are first counted and the tally compared with the number of voters who signed in at the polling station. In this case there was a discrepancy of 1 vote.



The ballot envelopes are then put into larger envelopes of 100 votes. In this polling station at the city hall of Avignon, there were about 760 votes cast.



The counting of the votes is done openly in front of the citizens and press. This is an essential part of transparent and democratic elections. If the vote counting is not done openly, the election cannot be called democratic. The counting of the votes took less than one hour.



Each envelope of 100 votes is counted individually and the results checked twice and tallied. Here the election workers remove the ballots, which are carefully counted and stacked by candidate.





Only those who are appointed to be part of the vote counting are allowed to touch the ballots or envelopes. The public is able to witness every step of the vote counting, which is what makes an election authentic and transparent.



The station's results are tallied and authenticated by the judges. This is what authenticates the election results in each polling station across France. Such vote counting and authentication of precinct results was once done in polling stations across the United States but is now done only in a few rural communities. Nearly all of the votes in the United States are processed by electronic voting machines or systems that are controlled by private companies.



The final tally for her station complete, the results are signed and sealed and returned to the box, which is locked.




The station's election judge is ready to take the results from her polling station to the central counting station for Avignon, which was in the city hall.



Election judges from across Avignon brought their boxes of votes to the city hall to be registered for the district tally.



The authenticated results from each polling place are registered.



The district results are then communicated by computer to the nation's central tally center. Because the results of each polling place have been counted openly by the citizens there is virtually no chance for election fraud. Because all the polling stations counted their votes simultaneously, virtually all the votes in France, nearly 33 million ballots, had been counted and tallied by 8 p.m. Chirac won with 82 percent of the vote. The



French method is an excellent model of how an open and transparent democratic election should be conducted.

#### Sources and Recommended Reading:

Bollyn, Christopher, "Death of Democracy Or May the Best Hacker Win," 27 October 2000  
<http://www.bollyn.com/death-of-democracy-or-may-the-best-hacker-win>

Bollyn, Christopher, "On Fictional Elections & Taking Our Republic Back," 3 January 2008  
<http://www.bollyn.com/bollyn-on-fictional-elections>

Bollyn, Christopher, "Who Controls Our Elections?" *Solving 9/11*  
<http://www.bollyn.com/who-controls-our-elections>

Bollyn, Christopher, "Who Really Controls Our Political Parties?" *Solving 9/11*  
<http://www.bollyn.com/who-really-controls-our-political-parties>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

### The Coming American Revolution

June 25, 2010

*Where the election is a fiction, the representation is a fiction also. Like will always produce like.*

– Thomas Paine to the Citizens of the United States, January 29, 1803

A revolution is brewing in the United States of America. The public discontent surrounding the costly and disastrous wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, the firing of General Stanley A. McChrystal, and the BP oil spill in the Gulf are all indications that a revolution is nearing. There are, of course, many other grievances, such as the trillion dollar bail-out and taxes, but there is one issue that makes a revolution inevitable: the government lies and cover-up about what really happened on 9-11.

The publication in March 2009 of the discovery of a large amount of super-thermite in the dust of the pulverized World Trade Center was not just the end of the government's version as an acceptable explanation of what happened on 9-11 – it marked the beginning of the American revolution against the tyranny that has hijacked our republic.

President Obama says that U.S. troops are fighting in Afghanistan because of the terrorism of 9-11 but we have solid scientific evidence that the Twin Towers were demolished with an extremely powerful form of super-thermite made with nanotechnology. The government lies about 9-11 and the proof cannot co-exist in peace. The discovery of super-thermite effectively marked the end of the criminal regime that runs the United States. Its days are numbered.

Revolutions are usually associated with violence, but they don't have to be. Since 1990, half the nations of Europe and Asia have gone through peaceful revolutions that replaced the former dictatorships of the once mighty Soviet Union. The apartheid regime of South Africa also came to an end without violence. The revolution to restore the sovereignty of the American people certainly need not be violent, and really should not be.

The essential problem with the American republic today is that "the representation is a fiction" because our elections are fraudulent fiction. President Obama and the Congressmen running the United States do not really represent us, the American people. Our politicians are beholden to the people who put them in power, i.e. the people who own and control the electronic voting machine systems used across the United States.

The only way to peacefully restore the stolen sovereignty to the American people is to restore integrity and transparency to our elections. The widespread use of electronic voting machines is how our republic is stolen from us every time we hold elections. The easiest and surest way to get our nation back is to return to hand-counted paper ballots in every polling place across the United States. The Constitution gives the states and counties the authority to determine the manner of voting. The citizens in every county need to be involved and present at the counting of the votes in every polling place to properly authenticate the tally. This is the absolute essential condition, the *conditio sine qua non* of an open and democratic election. If the people are not involved in the counting of the votes, the election is not authentic. It's that simple. A few hours spent counting votes is a small price (but the absolute minimum required) to pay for preserving our republic.



Christopher Bollyn (left) visited ES&S in Omaha to ask a few questions about the company that runs the voting machines in many counties in the United States. ES&S clearly did not want to talk and had absolutely no information to provide to the media.

In a discussion of the recent primary election in South Carolina in which a previously unknown candidate beat the Establishment candidate, I received the following email from a writer who goes by the name Jimi Big Bear. Mr. Big Bear's comments about electronic vote fraud in the United States explain the problem in a way that everyone can understand.

Jimi Big Bear wrote the following:

In all likelihood, the same voting machines were used as were used to elect Obama. Right? Why no cries of vote rigging then?

Coulter: Obama senior adviser [and Zionist handler] David Axelrod said Greene was not a "legitimate" candidate and called his victory "a mysterious deal." (Yes, how could a young African-American man with strange origins, suspicious funding, shady associations, no experience, no qualifications, and no demonstrable work history come out of nowhere and win an election?)

Is electronic voting a major problem? Absolutely. But I think what this incident points out is how corrupt the whole process is – what a farce and a sham it is. Maybe that's what whomever put up the \$10,000 for this numb skull (Greene) wanted to show America? Maybe it's just the mood of the country to vote out all incumbents? Maybe Greene watched "Used Cars" one too many times?

There is only one Establishment party – a political MONOPOLY. It appears to have two "wings" or branches to it – Republican and Democrat – and the gullible public believes that one of the parties has their interests at heart, or is somehow or in some way "better" than the other party. It's so superficial, it's like TV sports (any/all of them). People have their team and wear their team's colors and watch their games – sometimes even travel to major cities to spend lots of money to watch their team live.

Time has proven that with Obama we have VERY LITTLE difference than if McCain/Palin would have been elected. The lesser of evils is still evil, after all. Why do those of you who voted for Obama not call him on his lies?




Obama is a Zionist-controlled politician just like Bush and Clinton.

Same thing with the media. It's all owned by just 6 companies – all of them owned/controlled by Zionists. The media collectively has a "box" – one side of the box is "left", "liberal", Democrat starring Mathews, Olberman, etc. The other side of the box is "right", "conservative", Republican starring Limbaugh, Hannity, O'Reilly and Coulter, etc. The media makes it SEEM like there are real differences between the two sides, and they go to great lengths to keep us from "seeing" the box, and they all know, pretty much from experience, that if they want to keep getting the big paychecks, that they do NOT want to get out of the box. Don't get out of the box.

Don't even talk about the box! Divide and conquer – just as M said. That's what demo[n]cracy is all about – divide and rule. That's why M also said, "Damn the democracy!"

To get caught up in the Al Greene debate is to be distracted from the bigger picture. The quickest way to get our Country back is to take the MONEY POWER away from the Zionist Crimocracy that runs the country. Without the "terrible power of the purse" they'll have to fold up their tent and move on. Liberals will stop being Neoliberals and Conservatives will stop being Neoconservatives. With cool heads, warm hearts and compromise, statesmen will replace politicians and our Country will be restored to greatness...

Vote fraud has been going on FAR longer than 2000 Bush Gore, folks. The Collier brothers are (or were – I think they were both killed with cancer) THE premier vote fraud discoverers. In Florida, way back in the early 60's, one of the brothers ran for office and the other brother was his campaign manager. What they discovered – by actually participating in the process – was astounding.



*VOTESCAM: The Stealing of America* by the Collier brothers

Anyway, the media turned out to be involved in the vote fraud that was going on WAY back then – WAY before electronic voting machines. Janet Reno was involved. Catherine Graham – the Grand Dragon Lady of the Washington Post – and even the friggin League of Women Voters!!!! The Collier brothers were hounded and I believe ultimately murdered (slowly with cancer) by the ESTABLISHMENT'S CIA.

The crux of the problem remains the same: THE VOTING IS SUPPOSED TO BE SECRET. THE COUNTING IS SUPPOSED TO BE PUBLIC!

The Collier brothers walked into a back room of a voting machine company – with a video camera (big as they were in the day) – and captured members of the League of Women Voters actually altering the punch cards that the voting machines made that day in the election! They had "chads" all over their clothes!

The point I've been trying to make is that the core problem isn't Republicans or Democrats, it's the Establishment! They OWN Obama – just as they owned Bush–Cheney and McCain–Palin! They own the media. They own MOST of Congress. They own the voting machine companies.

If you're honest with yourself, you will admit that things are very little different from Bush–Cheney Junta to Obama. How many more of Obama's lies do you have to see proven before you will admit that there is only the one Establishment party? The Goldman–Sachs crowd owns Obama just as surely as they would (do) own McCain–Palin.

So, bottom line, DOES IT REALLY MATTER IF THERE IS VOTING FRAUD WHEN THE ONLY CHOICE WE HAVE IS BETWEEN THE LESSER OF TWO EVILS? SOMEONE FROM THE APPARENT DEMOCRAT WING OF THE ONE ESTABLISHMENT PARTY? OR SOMEONE FROM THE APPARENT REPUBLICAN WING OF THE ONE ESTABLISHMENT PARTY?

The time has come folks. We have to stop listening to and believing the awesome figure in front of us: "Pay no attention to that little man behind the curtain."



Look!

It's not really the Great and Powerful Oz that we need to tremble and grovel in front of – it's just a little Free Mason from Kansas.

WE outnumber THEM by almost a million to one. Their greatest fear is that we'll see them for what they are – that we'll see thru the illusion, confusion and ignorance that keeps them in power over us. That we will unite – throw the bastards out – and live in Liberty, Peace, Prosperity and Love.

Sources and Recommended Reading:

"On Fictional Elections & Taking Our Republic Back", January 3, 2008

<http://www.bollyn.com/bollyn-on-fictional-elections>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

Corporatism vs. Democracy in America

January 23, 2010



"In a day when special interests already hold our democracy by the throat, the court has helped them tighten their grip...The hypocrisy here is striking. And the impact of this ruling is frightening."

– "[Reckless Supreme Court Ruling on Campaign Finance: Special Interests Win, We Lose](#)"

*Star Ledger* Editorial Board (NJ), January 22, 2010

The Supreme Court has handed lobbyists a new weapon. A lobbyist can now tell any elected official: if you vote wrong, my company, labor union or interest group will spend unlimited sums explicitly advertising against your re-election.

– David D. Kirkpatrick, [New York Times](#), January 21, 2010

"The Court's ruling threatens to undermine the integrity of elected institutions around the Nation. The path it has taken to reach its outcome will, I fear, do damage to this institution."

– Justice John Paul Stevens in his 90–page [dissenting opinion](#)

"The conceit that corporations must be treated identically to natural persons in the political sphere is not only inaccurate but also inadequate to justify the Court's disposition of this case. In the context of election to public office, the distinction between corporate and human speakers is significant. Although they make enormous contributions to our society, corporations are not actually members of it. They cannot vote or run for office. Because they may be managed and controlled by nonresidents, their interests may conflict in fundamental respects with the interests of eligible voters. The financial resources, legal structure, and instrumental orientation of corporations raise legitimate concerns about their role in the electoral process. Our lawmakers have a compelling constitutional basis, if not also a democratic duty, to take measures designed to guard against the potentially deleterious effects of corporate spending in local and national races...

Essentially, five Justices were unhappy with the limited nature of the case before us, so they changed the case to give themselves an opportunity to change the law...

The Court operates with a sledge hammer rather than a scalpel when it strikes down one of Congress' most significant efforts to regulate the role that corporations and unions play in electoral politics."

– Justice John Paul Stevens in his 90–page [dissenting opinion](#) in which he wrote that granting First Amendment rights to "corporate speech" would have been "inconceivable" to the Framers of the Constitution.

The Supreme Court decision allowing corporations to spend unlimited amounts of money on political advertising is the death knell for democracy\* in America. If voting machines killed the democratic franchise in the United States, this decision by the Supreme Court is a dump truck dumping tons of dirt on its grave. This is the legal acceptance of what can only be called the rule of corporatism in America. American voters do not generally elect their presidents and representatives based not on their real merits or political skills but mainly on the image of the candidate received through television advertising and televised debates, which are controlled by the two major political parties. President Obama (my former senator who failed to respond to my letters) was not elected on his proven merits or political achievements (which are very scanty) but on a carefully crafted image of the candidate created by corporate lobbyist David Axelrod, his senior media strategist and political advisor. This ruling gives corporations an even bigger voice than they already have in



politics by allowing them to run televised political ads before an election. This is a extremely flawed interpretation of the freedom of speech and cannot be allowed to stand if the United States is to retain any semblance of being a democratic republic.

"Joined by the other three members of the court's liberal wing, Justice Stevens said the majority had committed a grave error in treating corporate speech the same as that of human beings."

– [New York Times](#), January 22, 2010

Corporations are not individuals or citizens. They are super-sized entities that are international in scope and size. Many of them are not beholden to any nation. Corporations simply cannot be equated with citizens as they do not belong to any nation. Corporations are concerned with gaining power and wealth and have core interests that are clearly contrary to the national good or public interest. With this ruling corporations will be able to use their general funds to play a super-size role in any political contest in the nation. How can any candidate of the people compete against someone who has the backing of a huge corporation with a war chest of millions of dollars to spend on advertising and television ads? Corporations have business interests in every congressional district in the nation. This ruling will enable them to exercise a massive influence in every congressional race.

"If the First Amendment has any force, it prohibits Congress from fining or jailing citizens, or associations of citizens, for simply engaging in political speech."

– Justice Anthony M. Kennedy [writing for the majority](#)

Corporations can not be granted the same rights as those enshrined in the First Amendment for citizens of the United States. To rule that corporations have the right of free speech in federal elections and then allow them to spend freely from their immense financial resources on political campaigns at every level across the United States is a most absurd interpretation of the Constitutional right of Freedom of Speech. Justice Kennedy's statement above does not take into account that churches are "associations of citizens" that are fined (taxed) for engaging in political speech. Churches in the United States do not have the right to political speech. Why should corporations, which are often foreign-owned and controlled, be allowed to have the loudest voice in U.S. elections while churches are forced to be silent? This decision destroys the notion that America is a government "of the people, by the people, for the people."

\* The term democracy should not be taken to mean that the United States is a "democracy" in the literal or classical Greek meaning of the word. Switzerland is a democracy in the real meaning of the word. The Swiss citizenry is truly involved in making political decisions for the nation. The United States was created as a republic with democratic elections in which representatives are chosen. The right to choose political representatives through open and transparent elections is the "democratic franchise", something which has completely disappeared in most counties of the United States with the use of computerized electronic voting systems.

Recommended Reading:

Kirkpatrick, David D., "Lobbyists Get Potent Weapon in Campaign Ruling," *New York Times*, January 21, 2010  
<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/01/22/us/politics/22donate.html>

Hasen, Richard L., "Money Grubbers – The Supreme Court kills campaign finance reform", January 21, 2010  
<http://www.slate.com/id/2242209/pagenum/all/>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

## Bolyn on Fictional Elections

January 3, 2008

### On Fictional Elections & Taking Our Republic Back

#### LETTER TO THE CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES

#### – On The Urgent Need to Take Our Elections Back

By Christopher Bolyn

It is always the interest of a far greater part of the nation to have a thing right than to have it wrong; and therefore, in a country whose government is founded on the system of election and representation, the fate of every party is decided by its principles.

As this system is the only form and principle of government by which liberty can be preserved, and the only one that can embrace all the varieties of a great extent of country, it necessarily follows, that to have the representation real, the election must be real; and that where the election is a fiction, the representation is a fiction also. Like will always produce like.

– Thomas Paine to the Citizens of the United States, Letter V, January 29, 1803

#### WHERE THE ELECTION IS FICTION

"Where the election is a fiction, the representation is a fiction also," Thomas Paine wrote in 1803, "Like will always produce like."

Born and raised in Chicago and suburban Cook County, and having run for office in my hometown, I know something about fictional elections. Election fraud is a fact of life in Chicago and Illinois. It is the way to power for ambitious men and has been for a very long time. Illinois is a state where elections have long been criminal and fraudulent.

"Like will always produce like," as Paine wrote. Sure enough, the politicians in Chicago and Illinois reflect the criminality that brought them to power. It is not at all uncommon in Illinois for the former governors and mayors to be charged and imprisoned on serious crimes -- after they leave office. This is also how it has always been in my hometown of Hoffman Estates.

Tonight, the presidential race of 2008 officially begins with the Iowa caucus. We now know that Voxeo, a foreign company connected to the Israeli military, controlled and tallied the Iowa caucus results in 2004. The Iowa caucus results from 2004 were clearly manipulated to benefit John Forbes Kerry, the dark horse Democrat from Massachusetts who went to Iowa lagging far behind in 5th or 6th place, but who, quite unexpectedly, won the caucus.

Will Voxeo and the Iowa caucus cheat the American people again in 2008?

They will unless they are stopped by the good people of Iowa. The only hope to prevent another Iowa fraud is that the good people participating in the caucus do their own reporting and conduct their own tally of the results. The people of Iowa and the United States don't need or want the Israelis to tally their caucus.

The rest of the race is history. The fraudulent caucus results from Iowa created the utterly fake race of 2004. George W. Bush, the pro-war unelected incumbent was challenged by his good buddy from their secret homosexual society at Yale University, Skull & Bones.

The Israelis running Voxeo gave the Iowa caucus to Kerry. He ran as a pro-war Democrat whose only argument about the war was how to fight it more effectively. With two perverted Skull & Bones buddies running for office, Kerry was part of a conspiracy to deceive the American people by running a fake race.

In this way, the real burning issues of the day, for example America's Middle East policies and involvement in the illegal and disastrous wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, were effectively removed from the debate.

Four years later, our republic is in increasingly dire straits. The second unelected administration of the dictatorial George W. Bush has made plans to continue the illegal wars of occupation in the Middle East until at least 2017. The Israelis must be pleased.

Some 4,000 Americans have already died in these costly and unnecessary wars on top of the thousands who were killed in the false flag terror attacks that were used to start them. Hundreds of thousands of Americans have been disabled and maimed for life. Many more Iraqis have been killed and wounded. Half the Iraqi population is now homeless, severely distressed, or in exile. What is this war being fought for?

Our civil liberties are under attack from every quarter. The economy is in shambles, the infrastructure is crumbling, and millions of illegal immigrants live within our borders. The U.S. dollar is worth only half what it was in 2002, and is worth less than the Canadian dollar. At this rate, it will soon be on par with the Mexican Peso.

These, my fellow Americans, are the results of a criminal government, what I call a "crimocracy" – a government of criminals, by criminals, for criminals.

This regime of tyrants came to power in the same way that criminals have always come to power in Illinois – through fraudulent elections run by fellow criminals.

It is time for this nonsense to come to a screeching halt. The citizens of the United States need to remember that these are our elections and this is our government. There is no place for foreigners in our elections.

We need to insist that the Israelis and Venezuelans and their private vote-counting companies and their bogus software will not be tolerated to have any part in our elections. Their criminal conduct has been exposed and their days of stealing our elections are over.

Americans need to learn to be real patriots and jealously protect our nation in the same way they would defend their wives and children. We must guard our republic like a protective husband and prevent all enemies, domestic and foreign, from infiltrating and corrupting our elections and our government.

This is our sacred obligation as citizens of our democratic republic. If we are unwilling or unable to protect the integrity of our elections, we will lose our republic and our way of life – it's that simple.

We must demand that our elections are open and transparent and refuse to accept any electronic voting machine systems run by secretive and foreign companies to steal our elections. This is what ES&S, Diebold, Sequoia, and the others are doing – they are part of a foreign conspiracy to control our elections and government.

Nobody will give honest elections to us: we must demand them as our right as citizens in our republic. Forget about the mass media, non-governmental organizations, the courts, or the government fixing this for us. They are the ones who have created this mess and who benefit from it. It is up to us, as citizens, to stand up and demand that our votes be properly and openly counted.

We must refuse to accept electronic voting machines and computer generated tallies in any way, shape, or form. If our counties will not return to hand-counted paper ballots, we must challenge them and conduct our own elections. We must stand up and resist the stealing of our elections in the same way that the Mexicans did. We must make noise and demand open and transparent elections.

We must demand that the ballot be simple and uncluttered. On Election Day, we should only be voting for president and our representatives at the federal and state level. Cluttering the ballot with county judges, local officials, and other issues and candidates complicates the process and gives the criminals an excuse for using their computer card vote-stealing machines.

Elections should be simple, clear, and frequent. In Switzerland, a truly democratic republic, elections are held 4 times a year.

Demanding hand-counted paper ballots is the only way to take back our nation peacefully. The ballots must be kept in plain sight at all times and openly counted in each polling station in front of the public immediately after the polls close. This is not difficult or time consuming. Each precinct has only a few hundred voters. This is the way democratic elections are supposed to be. There can be no compromise on this transparency in the vote counting. This is the sine qua non of honest elections.

This is what we must demand. In doing so we are only demanding what is rightfully ours as citizens. This is our republic and this is our government. If we all stand up and demand open and honest elections, with hand-counted paper ballots, we can save the republic and our way of life. It is the only way.



Christopher Bollyn (back to camera) interviewing the rather angry CFO of Election Systems & Software (ES&S), Mr. Tom O'Brien, in August 2004 at the company's Omaha headquarters on John Galt Blvd. The CEO was unavailable for comment and the company that counts millions of American votes was unable to answer any questions or provide any press releases or information about itself. O'Brien was very uncomfortable being asked about the product his company sells.

Photo – Helje Kaskel

46 Google +1 8 0 0

## ELRON – VOXEO: The Israeli Defense Firm That Tallies the Iowa Caucus

By Christopher Bollyn  
31 December 2007

The Iowa caucus is only a few days away and the nation's attention will be directed to the results, which signify the beginning of the U.S. presidential race. But does anyone watch who tallies the results of the Iowa caucus?

The Iowa caucus results were tallied in 2004 by a company that is headed by a man whose company was bought by Elron Electronics, the Israeli defense firm. I suspect that it will be the same this year. Don't expect to see any grassroots political activists doing the tally in Iowa. The Israeli defense establishment takes care of that part of the American "democratic" election process.

### VOXEO

In the summer of 2004, I first learned that a foreign and out-of-state company using Interactive Voice Response (IVR) technology tallied the Iowa caucus results.

The system used to tally the 2004 Iowa caucus results was provided by a company called Voxeo, which was apparently based in Orlando, Florida. (Yellow flag goes up in the mind of those familiar with Orlando and electronic vote fraud history. See **Bollyn article** on **Yang** below.)

The calls from the nearly 2,000 caucus centers in Iowa went to a Voxeo call center in Atlanta, Georgia.

On January 31, 2005, I wrote to Michelle Bauer, Iowa's Secretary of State with some questions about the use of Voxeo, a foreign company located in Florida, to tally the results of the Iowa caucus:

Subject: How was the Iowa Caucus Tallied?

Dear Sirs,

When I visited the headquarters of the Democratic Party in Des Moines last summer, I learned that the tally of the Iowa caucus had been "out-sourced" to a company in Atlanta, Georgia.

What this means is that the tallying of the Iowa caucus results was done over the telephone, using the touch-tone buttons, to enter the results from each caucus location...

I am interested in how this was done, and why. Why did the Democratic Party allow the crucial tally of the caucus results to be done by a company in Atlanta? Don't they trust their own math skills?

Can any of you provide any information about this matter?

Kind regards,

Christopher Bollyn

A person named Mike Milligan wrote back on behalf of Secretary of State Bauer:

Mike Milligan <[milliganconsulting@mchsi.com](mailto:milliganconsulting@mchsi.com)> wrote:

Dear Christopher:

The Secretary of State forwarded me the email you sent to then on Monday, January 31, 2004 [sic] regarding the Iowa Caucuses.

Unfortunately you either received some incorrect information in your travels or are confused. The Iowa Democratic Party completed all of the caucus night tabulations in Iowa, in the Des Moines/Polk County Convention Center, which was the Caucus night HQ. In fact, our tech staff wrote the software that tabulated the results.

To answer your second to last question, we feel we have a comfortable grasp of mathematics.

Sincerely,

MWM



Mike Milligan, Executive Director  
Iowa Democratic Party  
(515) 244-7292 ex. 676

I wrote this note back to Mr. Milligan:

Dear Mr. Milligan,

I am responding to you about how the caucus results for the Iowa Democratic Party were tallied on the night of the nation's first caucus. After checking my sources, I can assure you that it is correct that an out-of-state telephone/computer system tallied the Iowa precinct results.

The system used was provided by Voxeo Corporation based in Orlando, Florida. The calls went from Iowa to a call center in Atlanta, Georgia.

See: <http://www.voxeo.com/>

This information was first provided to me last August by John McCormally, Communications Director for the Iowa Democratic Party in Des Moines, Iowa.

Today I called Voxeo (800) 305-5771 in Orlando and although I didn't go into detail, the receptionist confirmed that Voxeo had conducted the telephone tally of the Iowa Democratic Caucus results.

How is it that you don't know that?

McCormally told me that chairmen were selected in all 1,993 precincts and these chairmen called in on touch-tone phones and after giving their PIN number, were able to enter the results from their precinct using the touch-tone number pad.

I'm not going to go into great detail at this point, but this procedure of using an out-of-state computer company to tally the precinct results for the Iowa Democratic Caucus lacks the transparency and openness that one might expect in this exercise in grass-roots poll.

Clearly, if someone wanted to adjust the results, it would be the easiest thing to do to do it through this computer system in Orlando, Florida. The Democrats in Iowa would never be aware of it, regardless of their math skills, unless the paper results were carefully audited in an open and honest manner.

Christopher Bollyn

## **THE ISRAELIS & VOXEO**

Now, who really is Voxeo, and why are the Iowa caucus results tallied by them? I don't know if the 2008 Iowa results will be tallied in the same way, but I wouldn't doubt it.

Voxeo is headed by Jonathan Taylor, who is the company's President and CEO. This is what his Voxeo webpage says about him:

Jonathan combined his experience in both business operations and technology innovation to found Voxeo in 1999. Under his guidance, Voxeo has seen triple-digit revenue growth for four consecutive years and has been profitable since January, 2004. Prior to Voxeo, Jonathan founded and helped bring three additional software and infrastructure service companies to profitability.

From 1995 to 1997, Jonathan was the founder and President of InterResearch and Development Group (IRdg), Inc. IRdg created and licensed iPost – the first internet powered OEM unified messaging solution – to leading telecommunications providers including Ericsson, Motorola and Unisys. IRdg was acquired by Elron Electronic Industries (Nasdaq: ELRN) subsidiary MediaGate in 1997.

There you have it. Jonathan Taylor's company, which he founded, was taken over by Elron Electronic Industries, the Israeli defense high tech company:

In its early days, Elron focused on defense, particularly electronics and avionics, as well as the emerging medical and technology sectors. In 1966, Elron founded Elbit, which combined the expertise of the Ministry of Defense–Research Institute in special computer design with Elron's experience in electronic product design, manufacture and management.

Source: <http://www.elron.net/default.asp?PageID=203>

This is important information that Americans need to know and which they will not find in the Zionist-controlled media in the United States. It needs to be understood that the entire election process in the United States is a fraud. More than that, it is a fraud that is being perpetrated by the Israeli defense establishment on the naïve and gullible American public.

The sine qua non of an honest and transparent election process is the open counting of the votes by the voters themselves in each polling station in front of the open eyes of other citizens and members of the media. Any compromise in this most fundamental and essential process which acts to remove the citizenry from the vote-counting process simply cannot be accepted.

This is what Americans MUST get back to, in every polling station in the nation: paper ballots that are hand counted in front of the public.

Nothing else will do to protect their democratic franchise in the United States – NOTHING.

### URGENT– The Remedy to Protect the Iowa Caucus Results

46 Google +1 8 0 0

See also:

- [URGENT– The Remedy to Protect the Iowa Caucus Results](#)

#### **Chicago Ballot Chaos**

New Computer Vote Machines Malfunction, Unverifiable

By Christopher Bollyn

5 April 2006

COOK COUNTY, Illinois—Chicago's use of a flawed computerized voting system operated by a privately held foreign company reveals how meaningless and absurd the "democratic" process in America has become. Having observed voting systems across Europe, from Serbia, Germany and Estonia to Holland and France, this reporter has noted that the most honest and transparent elections are also the most simple.

The more complicated methods of voting, such as the unverifiable computerized voting systems widely used across the United States, lack the most essential element of democratic elections—transparency.

The \$50 million touch-screen and optical-scan voting system provided by Sequoia Voting Systems failed across Chicago and suburban Cook County during the March 21 Illinois primary. However, the leading corporate-controlled newspapers merely lamented the failures of the system without addressing its fundamental flaws or even reporting that the company running the election is foreign-owned.

The "high-tech" computerized voting system was "cumbersome" and "slow," one mainstream Chicago newspaper reported. The machines failed across the county causing "plenty of frustration and confusion for voters," the paper reported. The ballots and votes from more than 400 precincts were still uncounted two days after the election due to machine malfunctions and lost memory cartridges which contain the results.

Reports from other dailies noted that as of noon Wednesday, Chicago was missing memory cartridges from 252 polling stations while Cook County officials "couldn't find" the results from 162 suburban precincts.

Election officials tried to assure the public that although nobody knew where all the ballots and computerized memory cartridges were, they were "most assuredly not lost."

"I don't trust that," U.S. Rep. Bobby Rush (D-Ill.) said. "This is Chicago. This is Cook County. We created vote fraud, vote scandal and stealing votes. We created that mechanism. It became an art form."

"Ballot chaos" is how another large Chicago newspaper described the situation in which the votes from hundreds of precincts could not be found or counted on Election Night.

"We have accounted for the votes," Langdon Neal, city election chairman told the publication. "What we haven't been able to do is count them."

In one precinct on the Near South Side, for example, the Sequoia optical scanner failed to register anything but Republican ballots. Although "election officials" tried to repair the machine four times, by the end of the day it had failed to register a single Democratic ballot in a precinct in which some 86 percent of the voters are Democrats.

When this reporter went to vote, the touch-screen machine went completely dead as the voter in front of me pressed the button to print. When the poll workers were asked if other voters had had similar

problems with the equipment they said it had happened all day and showed me an unplugged machine that had broken down earlier.

When the polls closed at 7 p.m., I was at the Cook County Clerk's office to see how the votes were tallied.

Citizens in Chicago, as in most American cities, are, however, forbidden from viewing or participating in the any aspect of the vote-counting process.

The so-called counting of the votes is managed by some two dozen employees of Sequoia Voting Systems, a privately held foreign company. These employees, many of whom are not even U.S. citizens, have "full access" to the "back room area," a sealed-off section of the 5th floor of the county clerk's office which is called the "tally area."

In Chicago, the person in charge of the tallying of the votes was a British employee of Sequoia named David Allen from London. Allen, who ran the "Sequoia War Room" in an office next to that of Cook County Clerk David Orr, oversaw the "tally room" team, which included a dozen Venezuelan employees, who operated the hidden computer equipment that counts the votes.

As I have reported before, there are wire services such as the Associated Press, who could be seen having direct connections leading from their computers to the hidden mainframe computer of the Sequoia tallying system located behind the wall on the 5th floor of the clerk's office.

Senior executives from Sequoia Voting Systems and from its partner company, Smartmatic, such as company president Jack A. Blaine and Roger Alejandro Piñate Martinez, vice president of special operations, also had "full access" to the tally area.

Sequoia, which was previously held by the British-based firm De La Rue PLC, a company, which produces bank notes, travelers checks and cash handling equipment, was merged or combined with Smartmatic in March 2005.

Smartmatic, which has a U.S.-based office in Boca Raton, Fla., is headed by three young Venezuelans along with Blaine, a former vice president with Unisys. A dozen Venezuelans could be seen managing the most sensitive aspects of the recent election in Chicago.

Smartmatic, the parent company of Sequoia Voting Systems, obtained the company for a "ridiculously low amount of money," Charles D. Brady, an analyst with Hibernia Southcoast Capital Inc., said at the time of the merger.

While De La Rue purchased 85 percent of Sequoia in 2002 for \$35 million, it reportedly sold the growing global company for only \$16 million in 2005. Tracey Graham, then president of Sequoia, said more than 30 organizations had expressed interest in buying her company, yet no names of other bidders were given citing "confidentiality agreements."

The chief officers of Sequoia-Smartmatic are two 32-year old Venezuelans from Caracas, Antonio Mugica and Alfredo Anzola. Anzola also works as a Venezuela-based lawyer brokering international oil deals with the Cleveland law firm of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

“With the combination of Sequoia and Smartmatic, both proven innovators with accomplished track records in either the U.S. or abroad, we are creating the first truly global leader in providing voter-verified electronic voting systems,” Blaine said in March 2005 when the merger was announced.

There is, however, nothing verifiable about the Sequoia voting system used in Cook County. The voter has no way of knowing if his vote has been counted or how it was counted.

The absolute lack of transparency in U.S. voting systems yields unverifiable election results, which can only be accepted on faith. In Chicago voters are asked to trust the results produced by malfunctioning machines operated by a privately owned foreign company.

Asked about the nature of the foreign company that runs elections in Cook County, Scott Burnham, spokesman for Cook County Clerk Orr simply said, “Ask Sequoia” and hung up the phone. Asked about the ownership of the privately held company, Allen, who supervised the tally, refused to answer and handed the phone to Michelle Shafer, the company’s vice president and spokesperson.

Pressed about Allen’s citizenship, Shafer finally admitted that the Sequoia employee who oversaw the tally was, indeed, a British citizen who had been assisted by a team of Venezuelans.

Dimas Ulacio, one of the Venezuelan technicians who worked in the tally area spoke with me. “Who really owns Sequoia?” I asked Ulacio. “Is Sequoia-Smartmatic truly a Venezuelan company or is it a British-owned company masquerading as a Venezuelan company?”

Ulacio laughed but refused to answer.

While a high percentage of the precinct results—about 90 percent—are usually reported within one hour of the polls closing, the Sequoia system failed to produce any results for nearly two hours. Only 44 percent of the precinct results had been reported four hours after the polls closed.

The widespread failures of the Sequoia voting system in the Cook County election, Shafer said, made for a “very typical Election Day in a jurisdiction where they are changing voting technology.” Rather than blame the machines, Shafer blamed human error.

46 Google +1 8 0 0

See also:

- [Florida Election Stolen](#)
- [WHO IS BEHIND FLORIDA VOTE FRAUD AND SPYING ON NASA?](#)

## Florida Election Stolen

Computer Programmer Reveals Scheme to Steal 2000 Vote  
By Christopher Bollyn

21 December 2004



TITUSVILLE, Florida. While the mainstream media has focused on a sensational murder trial in California and the political crisis that followed the flawed elections in Ukraine, it has ignored a huge domestic story about the computer programmer who has come forward and explained how he had written computer code to steal elections in Florida.

An affidavit signed by the programmer, Clinton Curtis, in Prince George's County, Md., on Dec. 6, 2004, names the individuals involved in a computer vote fraud scheme that he worked with in "the early fall of 2000" as "lead programmer" for a company called **Yang Enterprises, Inc.** (YEI), based in Oviedo, Fla.

The 4-page Curtis document contains 15 points that he swears are both true and correct.

"I declare under penalty of perjury," Curtis signed on the affidavit, "that the above is true and correct."

By the fall of 2000 Curtis had worked as a programmer for two years with YEI, a listed "small, minority, woman-owned business" that does extensive business with NASA and Florida. The CEO of YEI is a Chinese immigrant named Mrs. Li Woan Yang.

On its web site, YEI says it has 250 employees working at its Oviedo headquarters, the Kennedy Space Center, Cape Canaveral Air Force Station, Patrick Air Force Base and Tallahassee, Fla.

According to published company documents, the contact person for YEI is Mike Cohen, Yang's "executive secretary." Cohen, named by Curtis as being one of the three key persons at YEI involved in creating the program in 2000, has not answered telephone calls.

To read the whole article, click here:

<http://www.bollyn.com/index/?id=10548>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

See also:

- [WHO IS BEHIND FLORIDA VOTE FRAUD AND SPYING ON NASA?](#)

## Death of Democracy Or May the Best Hacker Win

Christopher Bollyn  
27 October 2000

What is particularly troubling about these machines is the fact that they contain an internal **two-way modem**, which enables anyone with a modem-equipped computer, from hackers and vendors to telephone company personnel and politicians, to potentially access and alter the computer's tally of the votes.

---

Cook County, IL – Across the United States, in precincts from coast to coast, ballot-counting computers equipped with cellular telephony and two-way modems have counted the votes of the American people. These ballot-counting machines, designed and operated by private companies, and the laws that ushered in their use have essentially disenfranchised citizen election judges from the vote-counting process and relegated them to insignificant roles as public servants working for private business on election night.

Computer programmers leave no fingerprints inside a computer, what happens inside it cannot be seen, and its records, and printouts can be fixed to conceal whatever an operator wants to keep secret. However, here and in other key jurisdictions around the nation, election judges have signed off on tally tapes of computer-generated vote counts without any actual verification on their part that the tally is correct.

### **OFFICIAL INDIFFERENCE**

Some officials concerned with elections have pondered the unthinkable; namely, the stealing of a presidential election by computer fraud in the metropolitan areas of key states. Steve White, former assistant attorney general of California, said, “ Given the importance of the national election, sooner or later it will be attempted. There is a real reluctance to concede the gravity of the problem.”

Officials at the Illinois State Board of Elections, the agency that approves the voting machines used in the state was contacted and asked about how the integrity of the elections could be safeguarded; each and every official interviewed was indifferent to the threat of computer vote fraud. Rick Fulle, Assistant Director of voting systems and 25-year veteran of the board said, “You can’t secure any computer system.”

When asked if election judges were permitted by law to do a manual recount of the votes – to verify the accuracy of the computer tally – the officials invariably replied, “You do not do a hand count,” although Fuller added, “nothing would stop them.”

A hand count of the votes by the election judges at the precinct level, before posting the results, is the only way to ensure that the machine tally is correct and that no computer fraud has been perpetrated. However, election officials discourage any manual audit saying that there are too many choices on the ballot and that a manual count would take too long.

In Switzerland, arguably the most democratic nation, all major political decisions are put before the people as referenda and polling with hand-counted paper ballots is conducted at least four times a year. Switzerland is not a member of the United Nations due simply to the fact that the Swiss people have rejected UN membership at the polls.

### **NOBODY CARES**

Tests of computer vote-counting systems used in Illinois from 1983–1987, which tested tens of thousands of ballots, revealed significant errors in the computer counting in more than twenty percent of the tests. Fulle said that in Illinois today there is “a 16 percent error rate” with ballot-counting machines. He expected numerous problems on election night saying “equipment will fail across the state.”

“I don’t understand why nobody cares,” Michael L. Harty, former Illinois director of voting systems and standards said, “At one point, we had tabulation errors in twenty-eight percent of the systems tested, and nobody cared.”

The indifference of election officials, the people ultimately responsible for the integrity of the elections, proves a point made by a former president of the University of Chicago, Robert M. Hutchins, who said, “The death of democracy is not likely to be an assassination from ambush. It will be a slow extinction from apathy, indifference, and undernourishment.”

Officials from the Illinois Board of Elections said that election judges are only required to verify that the number of ballots tabulated by the machine matches the number of ballots counted by the judges – as if voters are only voting for one candidate. Fulle added, “Nothing in the law [Illinois] requires that the count be accurate.” In this way the basic role of election judges -- to count and verify the accuracy of the vote -- has been usurped and compromised by election machines operated by private companies.

## **PUBLIC ELECTIONS ARE PRIVATE BUSINESS**

Whether it was the Precinct Ballot Counter 2100 (PBC), the Optech Eagle III, the Model 100 Optic Mark Reader (OMR), or the Votronic touch-screen system that counted your vote, these machines have something in common:

they are all designed and operated by Elections Systems & Software, Inc. (ES&S) – and they each contain a **two-way modem**, allowing them to communicate – and be communicated with – while they are in operation.

What is particularly troubling about these machines is the fact that they contain an internal modem, which **enables anyone with a modem-equipped computer, from hackers and vendors to telephone company personnel and politicians, to potentially access and alter the computer’s tally of the votes.**

ES&S is “the largest company in the world focusing solely on automating the election process.” The company “provides specialized systems and software to automate the entire election process for local, state, and national governments worldwide.” ES&S is a reorganized company that was given a new name in November 1997 after combining two of the largest election machine companies: Business Records Corp. (BRC, formerly part of Cronus Industries) and American Information Systems, Inc. (AIS).

ES&S is a privately held company owned by unknown investors and headed by Aldo Tesi, who refers to the democratic franchise as “the election industry.” The company is

headquartered in Omaha, Nebraska and supplies “thousands and thousands of machines being used across the country” to more than 2,200 U.S. jurisdictions in 49 states.

Cook County bought nearly 5,000 PBC machines from ES&S at a cost of \$25 million for the suburbs and the city of Chicago in what a company spokesman called a “huge contract.”

### **ES&S FIASCO IN VENEZUELA**

ES&S supplied Model 100 ballot-counting machines (through a Madrid-based company called Indra – Note the use of pagan deity names) for the elections in Venezuela.

It was reported (in the Omaha World Herald, whose publisher, John Gottschalk is one of the directors of ES&S) that the head of Venezuela’s National Elections Council, Etanislao Gonzalez, placed the blame for the technical difficulties during the election on the Nebraska-based ES&S. Gonzalez said, “the firm flagrantly failed to meet its commitments and the failure had destabilized the country’s electoral process.”

A Venezuelan air force jet flew to Omaha to fetch experts to “salvage” the election. It was reported that more than 6 percent of the 7,000 voting machines broke down during the Venezuelan election and that there were major “technical glitches”. ES&S said that the rate of failure in the Venezuela election was “slightly higher than we would expect.”

### **EASY ACCESS ANYTIME**

The PBC machines contain an internal Expedite modem made by Novatel Wireless, an international company based in San Diego, a “spin-off” of two Canadian companies: NovAtel, Inc. of Calgary, a company specializing in satellite communications and global positioning systems, and an internationally owned oil company in Alberta.

“You certainly run the risk of somebody hacking into these [vote-counting] machines,” a spokesman for Novatel Wireless said, “The machine can be accessed anytime it is plugged in,” – if one knows the computer’s IP (Internet Protocol) address. “Internet voting scares me,” he added, “it puts us in the same situation as a third-world country.” When asked about the ownership of Novatel Wireless, he said, “I’ve no idea who owns the company.”

Roy Saltman, a computer consultant at the National Institute of Standards and Technology’s Computer Systems Laboratory wrote a report for the U.S. Commerce Dept. in 1988 entitled, “Accuracy, Integrity, and Security in Computerized Vote-Tallying”, in which he documented many instances of vote mistabulation and the inherent vulnerability of U.S. voting systems to error and fraud. Among the possible methods he listed by which “unknown persons may perpetrate undiscoverable frauds” were “fraudulent alterations in the computer program or in control cards that manipulate the program” and “introduction of false voting summaries through changes in data stored in removable data storage units of precinct-located, vote-counting devices.”

Herb Deutsch, who works in the technical department of ES&S in Rockford, IL, where the PBC was designed has worked with election software and hardware for 25 years having formerly worked for BRC. Deutsch defended the PBC saying that its ballot tabulation program is “generic” and that its computer code has been “certified.”

### **CONTROL CARDS**

However, each PBC machine is programmed and run by a pre-programmed 512-K memory card. According to Deutsch, “the memory card can be used for lots of purposes” and contains the coded instructions that “essentially tell the machine what to do” when it is turned on. These cards are programmed at the company offices of ES&S in Chicago. The card is removed by the election judges and turned in to headquarters when the polls close.

Vikant Corp., a Chicago area company owned by Alex Kantarovich of Minsk, Belorussia, supplied the control cards to ES&S. When asked where Vikant cards are produced, Kantarovich said, “I cannot disclose where the cards are made,” but admitted that they are not made in America.

Mr. Kantarovich said that he has been in America for 11 years but declined to discuss his employment prior to running Vikant Corp., saying, “I don’t want to disclose that information.”

Kantarovich said he had obtained his degree in the Soviet Union and initially refused to answer questions about how his product was chosen for the ES&S voting equipment saying that it was “inside information that I cannot disclose.” Kantarovich said later that his firm was chosen over larger firms like IBM and Panasonic because Vikant was uniquely able to meet the specific requirements of ES&S and provide the cards on short notice. He added, however, that there had been “some problems” with the cards from other suppliers.

Kantarovich said, “To tell you the truth, I have no idea how these vote counting machines work. We are just the supplier of one particular product.”

### **“NO ONE IS SAFE”**

Deutsch said that he trusted that the election computers were safe from hackers on the very day that it was reported that Microsoft’s computers and source code had been “hacked” for a week. Teenagers have demonstrated that breaking into the most secure computer networks in the world is “child’s play,” but serious questions about the security of the national election computer network are dismissed as preposterous.

Security experts say the attack on Microsoft shows that no one is safe – it was reported that the information stolen from Microsoft was sent to Russia, although this could be a front for people anywhere on the net.

Source:

**NA (Network America) e-wire**

<http://www.vote fraud.org/News/2000/10/102700.html>

46 Google +1 8 0 0

See also:

- [Elections In America – Assume Crooks Are In Control](#)
- [HOW A PRIVATE COMPANY COUNTS OUR VOTES](#)

<http://www.bollyn.com/electronic-voting/>